# 181 Toronto St. S. Uxbridge (ZBA 2022-05)

**AGENCY REVIEW** 

MUNICIPAL APPLICATION #: ZBA 2022-05 COMMENT – REPLY MATRIX: SUBMISSION 3<sup>rd</sup>



PROJECT INFORMATION DATE: 2025.05.27

PROJECT:ResidentialMUNICIPALITY:Town of Uxbridge, Durham RegionLOCATION:181 Toronto St. S., Uxbridge ONTYPE:Zoning By-law Amendment (ZBA)OWNER:2773791 Ontario Inc.APPLICATION #:ZBA 2022-05 (3rd Submission)

DISCIPLINE:	COMPANY			
DIOON LINE.	OOTHAIT	CONTACT	PHONE	EMAIL
PLANNING	ACCIO	Daniel CERON	647-622-4850	danielceron@accioplanning.com
PLANNING	LARKPlan	Michael LARKIN	905-895-0554	mike@larkplan.com
ARCHITECTURE	John Williams Architecture	Ramon Olano	416.557.1878	rolano@williamsarch.com
ENGINEERING [CIVIL]	Counterpoint Engineering	Patrick Turner	416-206-5018	pturner@counterpointeng.com
ENGINEERING [Hydo G]	Toronto Inspection	Yourong Li	905.940.8509	yourong@torontoinspection.com
ENVIRONMENTAL	Terrastory Environmental	Tristan Knight	905.745.5398	tristan@terrastoreyenviro.com
ENVIRONMENTAL	YCA Engineering	Hava Jouharchi	416.894.3213	hava@ycaengineering.com
ENGINEERING [TRAFFIC]	CGE Transportation	Casey Ge	416.602.1885	casey@cgeconsulting.ca
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE	HKLA	Tyler Main	905-839-5599	tmain@hkla.ca

### AGENCY / DEPARTMENT COMMENT / RESPONSE MATRIX

The following is a summary of responses to comments from the Town of Uxbridge, Regional Municipality of Durham, Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (LSRCA), and other agencies regarding the 2<sup>nd</sup> Submission of the Zoning Amendment Application ZBA 2022-05 for the subject land located at 181 Toronto St. S., Uxbridge.

**NOTE:** Previous comments to the first Submission have been addressed and referenced in the matrix / response dated 2024.03.07, which was part of the second submission.

### **INTRODUCTION:**

This document is intended to provide a description of how comments from different agencies have been addressed by the consultant responsible of each specific matter. Comments have been organized in separate sections by comenting party (*in italics*), and responses are referenced adjacent to comemnts to assit the review of the application.

SECTION	Commenting Agency	Subsection(s)	
PART 1	Durham Region Planning Division	1.1 to 1.21	
PART 2	Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority	2.1	
PART 3	Metrolink	3.1	
PART 4	Hydro One	4.1	
PART 5	Durham District School Board	5.1	
PART 6	Enbridge	6.1	
PART 7	Redline comments Engineering	7.1	





### **AGENCY / DEPARTMENT COMMENTS**

### RESPONSE / REPLY 2025.05.27

This section is intended to provide a description of how **Durham Region** comments to the 2<sup>nd</sup> Submission of ZBA 2002-05 have been addressed by the consultant responsible on each specific matter. Comments are indicated *in italics*, and responses are referenced adjacent to comemnts to assit the review of the application.

Pa	rt 01. DURHAM PLANNING DIVISION	COMMENTS BY / FROM:	David Perkins, Project Planner –Durham Region	Letter dated 2024.06.26
CIRCULATION COMMENTS		RESPONSE(S)		
1.1	The Region of Durham has reviewed the above noted revised plan and supporting repor[t]s/studies and we offer the following comments with respect to the Durham Regional Official Plan, Provincial policies, the Region's delegated provincial plan review responsibilities, the proposed method of servicing, transportation and transit.			
	The applicant has submitted a revised Conceptual Site Plan and related reports/studies to address comments made in the initial submission of the development proposal. The revised plan continues to propose the creation of ten (10) row townhouse dwelling units on two blocks as well as one road widening block and one common elements block on the subject site, located on the southeast side of Toronto Street South in the Town of Uxbridge. The revised plan also proposes a revised turnaround for the laneway.		<ul><li>Acknowledged</li></ul>	
	The application continues to propose to amend the zon facilitate a draft plan of subdivision by rezoning the sub "Residential" (R1) Zone category to "Residential Multiple Den to permit ten row house dwelling units, a road widening block a elements block.	ject site from sity (RM) Zone		
1.2	Provincial Policy			
	Lake Simcoe Protection Plan (LSPP)			
	In response to comments made in support of the first submiss the requirement of a Natural Heritage Evaluation (NHE) requirements of policy 6.26 of the LSPP, a Scoped NHE Terrastory Environmental Consulting Inc., dated April 8 submitted in support of the applications. The NHE noted the identified significant natural features nor their vegetation processed onto the subject property. The NHE concluded the impacts to the above noted significant features will occur application appropriately addresses applicable natural her provided that all technical mitigation measures identified in implemented in full.	meeting the prepared by 5, 2022, was at none of the otection zones at no negative r and that the ritage policies	● Acknowledged	

LEGEND: Planning Architecture ENG./Civil ENG./ Geotech ENG./Electrical Environmental ENG./Traffic Landscape Arch.



1.3 The Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority (LSRCA), in its technical review comments in support of the second submission of the application, dated April 11, 2024, has indicated that to achieve conformity with LSPP policy 4.8-DP(e), the applicant is required to demonstrate how the phosphorus loading shall be minimized by demonstrating that the post development loading is at or below the pre-development loading.

Conformity with the policies of the LSPP cannot be determined until such a time as the above noted requirements have been addressed to the satisfaction of the LSRCA.

A revised SWM-FSR Report and plans have been updated by Counterpoint Engineering Ltd; LSRCA comments have been addressed, and responses are presented in the LSRCA charts (Engineering / Hydrology) attached to this 3<sup>rd</sup> submission.

1.4 Durham Regional Official Plan (ROP) Conformity

A Planning Addendum Letter, prepared by Larkin + Land Use Planners Inc., dated March 15, 2024, was submitted in support of the second submission of the applications. The letter concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the PPS and conforms to the Growth Plan, LSPP, ROP and the Township of Uxbridge Official Plan.

- The proposed zoning by-law amendment is consistent with provincial policies, and it is in conformity with the Regional and the Municipal official Plan.
- 1.5 To ensure that water resources are available in sufficient quality and quantity to meet existing and future needs of the Region's residents, Regional Council shall ensure that, where appropriate, area municipal official plans require stormwater management and erosion and sedimentation control plans be prepared in the context of sub-watershed plans and that stormwater management facilities be implemented as part of the pre-servicing of development proposals.

Staff at LSRCA have noted that several of their concerns regarding stormwater management indicated earlier have either not been addressed or have been partially addressed, including related to water quantity control, water quantity control criteria, water quantity control using infiltration measures and infiltration trench sizing. LSRCA staff further indicated that additional and/or revised information is required to address the above noted concerns.

In response to the above noted comments, a peer review of a Stormwater Management and Functional Servicing Report, prepared by Counterpoint Engineering Inc., dated May 2022, was submitted. The peer review was also prepared by PGL Environmental Consultants, dated November 18, 2002. The peer review again concluded that the hydrogeological study is insufficient with respect to the adequacy of the water balance assessment and recommended that the assessment be updated to clearly identify issues related to long-term dewatering.

In response to the above noted peer review comments, a revised Hydrogeological Investigation, also prepared by Toronto Inspection Ltd., dated February 6, 2024, was submitted in support of the second submission of the applications.

A revised SWM-FSR Report and plans have been updated by Counterpoint Engineering ltd; LSRCA comments have been addressed, and responses are presented in the LSRCA Charts (Engineering / Hydrology) attached to this 3<sup>rd</sup> submission.

1.6 LSRCA staff, in their Technical Review Comments – Hydrogeology – have indicated that the previous matters related to the Hydrogeological Investigation have now been addressed. As such, the Region is satisfied that all matters related to hydrogeology have been satisfied.

The LSRCA indicated in their comments in support of the first submission of the applications that the proponent must demonstrate that there will be no negative impacts to the natural features and their ecological functions. The Township of Uxbridge has subsequently indicated that it will review the Natural Heritage Evaluation (NHE), prepared by Counterpoint Engineering Inc., dated May 16, 2021, and will include the implementation of the NHE's recommendations as a condition of approval of the Plan of Subdivision application.

Acknowledged

LEGEND: Planning Architecture ENG./Civil ENG./ Geotech ENG./Electrical Environmental ENG./Traffic Landscape Arch. Other...



1.7 The proposed zoning by-law amendment will facilitate a medium density residential development adjacent to an arterial road, within proximity of a transit route. However, the Region will require that the above noted requirements related to stormwater management are completed to the LSRCA's satisfaction before the Region can determine conformity with the ROP.

A revised SWM-FSR Report and Plans have been updated by Counterpoint Engineering Inc.; and LSRCA comments have been addressed, and responses are indicated in the LSRCA Charts (Engineering / Hydrology) attached to this 3<sup>rd</sup> submission.

#### 1.8 <u>Delegated Provincial Plan Review Responsibilities</u>

#### Potential Noise Impacts

A revised Noise Impact Study, prepared by YCA Engineering Limited, dated September 21, 2022, was submitted in support of the second submission of the applications.

The noise impact study has been reviewed and has been deemed acceptable. The proponent is required to implement the recommendations contained in Table 2 of the study into a legal agreement with the Township of Uxbridge or a Land Titles Agreement with the Region of Durham, to our satisfaction.

#### Potential Site Contamination

In accordance with the Region's Soil and Groundwater Assessment Protocol, a revised Regional Site Screening Questionnaire (SSQ) indicating that there is no potential site contamination was completed and signed by a Qualified Person and by the property owner. As such, the Region is satisfied that all matters regarding potential site contamination have been addressed.

Acknowledged

#### 1.9 Servicing

The Regional Works Department has reviewed the above noted second submission application package and provides the following comments.

Functional Servicing Report (FSR), Counterpoint Engineering, May 16, 2021

With respect to Section 9.0 – Water Supply - please provide and indicate the proposed water service connection (and fire line connection if applicable), size and material type for the subject site.

Proposed water service will be connected via 300x150mm tapping sleeve and valve as per Region of Durham Standards S-230.020.

(Counterpoint Engineering Inc., Feb 20<sup>th</sup>, 2025)

- 1.10 With respect to Section 10.0 Sanitary Servicing as per the Region's Standards and Specifications, only one (1) sanitary service connection is permitted for a medium density condominium block. Also, a private sanitary inspection maintenance hole is required and shall be located 1.5m inside private property.
- O Sanitary servicing for the site will be completed by installing a series of manholes within the property frontage. The sanitary system will connect to the municipal system at a single location, an existing manhole within Toronto Street South. The private sanitary system will be within a common element portion of the development. (Counterpoint Engineering, Feb 20th, 2025)

LEGEND: Planning Architecture ENG./Civil ENG./ Geotech ENG./Electrical Environmental ENG./Traffic Landscape Arch.



#### 1.11 Site Servicing Plan (C-2) Valve location is now shown. The proposed domestic water service connection [connection] to the Refer to Drawing C-2. existing 300mm watermain shall be via a tapping sleeve and valve. Please (Counterpoint Engineering Inc., Feb. show the valve location at the tee and the respective property line valve. 20th, 2025) Please refer to the attached Redlines document. 1.12 Please add a note for CB frame and grate on the proposed STM maintenance Note Added. Refer to Drawing C-2. hole. (Counterpoint Eng., Feb 20<sup>th</sup>, 2025) O Sanitary Lateral connections have 1.13 Please remove all proposed sanitary lateral connections. been removed. Refer to Drawing C-2. (Counterpoint Eng. Feb.20th,2025) 1.14 Please add one sanitary service connection to service the proposed Sanitary servicing for the site will condominium development. An inspection maintenance hole is required to be completed by installing a series be located 1.5m inside private property. Depending on the required size of of manholes within the property the service connection for the site, a maintenance hole at the mainline may frontage. The sanitary system will be required. If it is required, the maintenance hole shall be installed via connect to the municipal system doghouse method. Please provide a benching detail accordingly. at a single location, an existing manhole within Toronto Street South. The private sanitary system will be within a common element portion of the development. (Counterpoint Eng. Feb 20th, 2025) O Drawing C-2 has been revised to 1.15 Please note that the proposed Draft Plan indicates a 1.90m road widening indicate a 1.90m road widening as and the Site Servicing Plan indicates a 2.0m road widening. Please confirm per the proposed Draft Plan. which is correct and revise accordingly. (Counterpoint Eng., Feb 20<sup>th</sup>, 2025) 1.16 <u>Transportation Infrastructure</u> This comment will be addressed. The Region will require a cross-access easement to be provided to the at the Draft Plan of Subdivision properties to the north and south of the subject parcel (171 and 191 Toronto next re-submission. This is not a Street). This will enable shared access should either of these parcels zoning matter. develop in the future. The Township of Uxbridge should confirm if this will be made a condition of approval. 1.17 <u>Traffic Management Plan</u> The Pavement Marking and Signage Plan has been updated to Please specify which Traffic Control Plan from the current OTM Book 7 was include advanced signage for used. pedestrians at the nearest and upstream downstream The inclusion of advanced signage for pedestrians at the nearest controlled intersections with controlled crossings indicating that the sidewalk will be closed is required at Toronto pedestrian crossings. Street and Elgin Park Drive and at the Toronto Street and Campbell Drive intersection to ensure pedestrians cross at the designated crosswalks and The Pavement Marking and Signage avoid the construction area. Plan was prepared based on the information outlined in OTM Book 7 All efforts should be made to limit the duration of the sidewalk closure. (Drawing No. US-17 - Lane Closed and Drawing No. TS-23 - Pedestrian Detour: Sidewalk Closure).

LEGEND: Planning Architecture ENG./Civil ENG./ Geotech ENG./Electrical Environmental ENG./Traffic Landscape Arch.



The updated Pavement Marking and Signage Plan (Drawing No. TMP-01 dated April. 2025) is included in the resubmission. (CGE Traffic Consulting,

April 4<sup>th</sup>, 2025)

### 1.18 Stormwater Management Report and Functional Servicing Report, Counterpoint – November 2023

The maximum release rate to the Toronto Street South storm sewer from the control area (#201) should be restricted to 5.2 litres per second and the maximum uncontrolled flow of 11.5 litres per second from the area abutting Toronto Street (#301) will be directed to the Toronto Street South right-of-way (ROW).

The proposed release rates would be reasonable based on the existing flow that currently drains to the Toronto Street South ROW from the portion of the subject property (0.13 ha). The proposed onsite storage of 124 m³ with Hydro-Brake orifice control would be adequate to control the 100 year post development flows to above the noted release rate.

The stormwater management proposal is acceptable to the Region of Durham Works Department.

Noted. However, Area 201 will be controlled to 5.4L/s not 5.2L/s to match the 5-year predevelopment release rate during the 100-year storm event, calculations are provided in Appendix B of the SWM report.

(Counterpoint Engineering Inc., Feb 20<sup>th</sup>, 2025)

#### 1.19 Waste Management

The applicant has noted that they will seek private waste collection. As such, the Region has no further comments related to waste management.

Acknowledged

#### 1.20 Water Resources Monitoring and Protection

The subject site is located within the South Georgian Bay Lake Simcoe (SGBLS) Source Protection Region and within a Wellhead Protection Area – Category D which equates to a 25 year of travel from the wellhead source to the site. Based on the policies listed in the SGBLS Source Protection Plan, approved January 26, 2015 and the site location, there are no applicable policies or significant drinking water threats associated with the proposed development.

Noted.

### 1.21 Conclusion

The above-noted zoning by-law amendment application proposes the rezoning of the subject site to permit ten row house dwelling units, a road widening block and a common elements block in order to facilitate the construction of ten townhouse dwellings that are generally compatible with housing types within the Uxbridge Urban Area.

Acknowledged

The proposed development is also generally consistent with the direction of Regional and Provincial policies; however, technical considerations including the demonstration of how phosphorus loading will be minimized, and matters related to stormwater management including water quantity control, water quantity control criteria, water quantity control using infiltration measures and infiltration trench sizing must be addressed before conformity to the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan and to the ROP respectively can be determined.

As such, the Region requests that this application to rezone the subject site to permit the development of ten row house dwelling units be deemed premature until such time as the above noted requirements are addressed to the satisfaction of the LSRCA and the Region.

Technical considerations have been addressed in the updated SWM-SFR Report and Plans by Counterpoint Engineering Inc., and responses to comments are indicated in the fillable LSRCA Charts (Hydrology / Engineering) attached to the 3<sup>rd</sup> submission.

LEGEND: Planning Architecture ENG./Civil ENG./ Geotech ENG./Electrical Environmental ENG./Traffic Landscape Arch.



### AGENCY / DEPARTMENT COMMENTS

**RESPONSE / REPLY 2025.05.27** 

This section is intended to provide a description of how **LSRCA** comments to the 2<sup>nd</sup> Submission of ZBA 2002-05 have been addressed by the consultant responsible on each specific matter.

Part 02. LSRCA (Lake Simcoe Region Conservation)

**COMMENTS BY** / FROM:

Dave Ruggle, Manager Planning, LSRCA

Email dated 2024.04.16

#### **GENERAL COMMENTS**

2.1. LSRCA sent two fillable charts with comment to 2<sup>nd</sup> Submission:

The comments have been prepared by:

Alison Edwards (Engineering) a.edwards@lsrca.on.ca Melinda Morris (Hydrogeology) m.morris@lsrca.on.ca  All Comments have been addressed and responses described in the fillable LSRCA Charts (Hydrology & Engineering), which are attached as part of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Submission.

### AGENCY / DEPARTMENT COMMENTS

RESPONSE / REPLY 2025.05.27

This section is providing a description of how METROLINK comments to the 2<sup>nd</sup> Submission of ZBA 2002-05 have been addressed by the consultant responsible on each specific matter.

COMMENTS BY / Farah Faroque, Third Email dated Part 03. METROLINK FROM: Party Projects Review 2024.05.09

#### **GENERAL COMMENTS**

3.1 Please be advised that the subject lands (REGIONAL HIGHWAY 47) fall outside of the designated Metrolinx review zones. Metrolinx therefore has no comments and / or concerns on this DPS application. For future reference, please consult the webpage and mapping portal provided below, in order to determine when Metrolinx should be circulated.

Acknowledged

## AGENCY / DEPARTMENT COMMENTS

RESPONSE / REPLY 2025.05.27

This section is providing a description of how HYDRO ONE comments to the 2<sup>nd</sup> Submission of ZBA 2002-05 have been addressed by the consultant responsible on each specific matter.

Denis De Rango, PART 04. HYDRO ONE, Real Estate COMMENTS BY / Email dated Specialized Services Team Lead, FROM: Real Estate Department Hydro 2024.05.24 **Department Hydro One Networks Inc.** One Networks Inc.

### **GENERAL COMMENTS**

4.1 We are in receipt of your Plan of Subdivision and Site Plan application, SPD 2022-06 and SUB 2022-02 dated May 8th, 2024. We have reviewed the documents concerning the noted Plan and have no comments or concerns at this time. Our preliminary review considers issues affecting Hydro One's 'High Voltage Facilities and Corridor Lands' only.

Acknowledged

For proposals affecting 'Low Voltage Distribution Facilities' the Owner/Applicant should consult their local area Distribution Supplier. Where Hydro One is the local supplier the Owner/Applicant must contact the Hydro subdivision group at subdivision@Hydroone.com or 1-866-272-3330.

LEGEND: Planning Architecture ENG./Civil ENG./ Geotech ENG./Electrical Environmental ENG./Traffic Landscape Arch.



Land Use Planning Solutions Inc.

### **AGENCY / DEPARTMENT COMMENTS**

**RESPONSE / REPLY 2025.05.27** 

This section is providing a description of how **DDSB** comments to the 2<sup>nd</sup> Submission of ZBA 2002-05 have been addressed by the consultant responsible on each specific matter.

PART 05. Durham District School Board	COMMENTS BY / Natalie Ho	Email dated
		Natatierio

### **GENERAL COMMENTS**

5.1 Staff has reviewed the information on the above-noted file and, under the mandate of the Durham District School Board, staff has no objections.

Acknowledged

### **AGENCY / DEPARTMENT COMMENTS**

**RESPONSE / REPLY 2025.05.27** 

This section is providing a description of how **ENBRIDGE** comments to the 2<sup>nd</sup> Submission of ZBA 2002-05 have been addressed by the consultant responsible on each specific matter.

PART 06. Enbridge	COMMENTS BY /	Willie Cornelio	Letter dated
	FROM:		2024.05.14

6.1 Enbridge Gas does not have changes to the previously identified conditions for this revised application(s).

Acknowledged

### **AGENCY / DEPARTMENT COMMENTS**

**RESPONSE / REPLY 2025.05.27** 

This section is providing a description of how **DURHAM REGION / Redline** comments to the 2<sup>nd</sup> Submission of ZBA 2002-05 have been addressed by the consultant responsible on each specific matter.

PARI II / Redline highs (Durham Pegion)	COMMENTS BY /	Kurt Niles, Durham	Email
	FROM:	Region Public Works	2024.06.27

7.1 Redline comments were added to the Engineering Servicing Plan C-2. by Durham Public Works Department on June  $27^{th}$ , 2024 & July  $17^{th}$ , 2024.

 Redlines comments have been addressed and the Engineering Servicing Plan C-2 and other plans updated accordingly.

End of comments & responses.

LEGEND: Planning Architecture ENG./Civil ENG./ Geotech ENG./Electrical Environmental ENG./Traffic Landscape Arch. Other...

