#### STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AND FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT IN SUPPORT OF SITE PLAN APPLICATION

#### LARKIN+ LUPI RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

181 TORONTO STREET SOUTH UXBRIDGE ONTARIO



Prepared For: LARKIN+ LUPi

**Counterpoint Engineering Inc.** 8395 Jane Street, Suite 100, Vaughan, Ontario L4K 5Y2 Tel: (905) 326-1404 File Number: 21026

> 2<sup>nd</sup> Submission: November 24th, 2023 1<sup>st</sup> Submission: May 16th, 2021



181 Toronto Street South Residential Development

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	PROJECT INTRODUCTION	4
2.0	BACKGROUND MATERIALS	4
3.0	EXISTING CONDITIONS AND EXISTING DRAINAGE	4
4.0	DESIGN CRITERIA	5
5.0	QUANTITY CONTROL	6
6.0	WATER BALANCE AND VOLUME CONTROL	8
7.0	QUALITY CONTROL	8
8.0	PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL	9
9.0	PROPOSED GRADING	10
10.0	0 WATER SERVICING	10
11.0	0 SANITARY SERVICING	10
12.0	0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES	11
С	Construction Sequencing	11
In	nspection and Maintenance	11
R	Record Keeping Procedure	12
13.0	0 CONCLUSIONS	13



181 Toronto Street South Residential Development

## LIST OF APPENDICES, FIGURES, TABLES AND DRAWINGS

#### Figures

Figure 1	Site Location Plan
SWM-1	Pre-Development Drainage Figure
SWM-2	Post-Development Drainage Figure

#### Appendices

Appendix A	Background Materials
Appendix B	Stormwater Management
Appendix C	Water Demand Calculation
Appendix D	Sanitary Demand Calculation
Appendix E	Engineering Drawings and Figures

X

LARKIN+ LUPi 181 Toronto Street South Residential Development

## **1.0 PROJECT INTRODUCTION**

This Stormwater Management and Functional Servicing Report has been prepared on behalf of Larkin+ and Lupi in support of Site Plan Application for the proposed 0.30ha Subject Site (Site). The residential development is comprised of two townhouse blocks with a total of 10 units. The subject site is located at 181 Toronto Street South, in the Town of Uxbridge.

#### 2.0 BACKGROUND MATERIALS

This report is based on a review of the following drawings and reports located in Appendix A:

- Toronto Street Plan and Profiles from 53 m E. of Elgin Park Dr. to 303 m E. of Elgin Park Dr. - Drawing U-06-R-315, prepared by Chishol, Fleming and Associates Consulting Engineers, dated May 17, 2007 [Plan and Profile of Toronto Street South]
- Storm Drainage Area Plan Drawing STDP -1, prepared by LGI Consulting Engineers Inc. [LGI Stormwater Drainage Area Plan]
- Topographic Mapping, prepared by Barcih Grenkie, dated March 2021 and updated May 2021 [Topographic Mapping]
- Geotechnical Investigation 181 Toronto Street South Uxbridge, Ontario, prepared by Toronto Inspection, dated May 2021 [Geotechnical Report]
- Hydrogeotechnical Investigation 181 Toronto Street South Uxbridge, Ontario, prepared by Toronto Inspection, dated August 2023 [Hydrogeotechnical Report]
- Township of Uxbridge Design Criteria and Standard Detail Drawings 2016

## 3.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS AND EXISTING DRAINAGE

The Site is currently a vacant open field that fronts onto Toronto Street South's ROW. Based on the Topographic Mapping, there is an existing ditch that is not well defined that drains north along the ROW toward a low point at the northwest corner of the site. There is an existing



181 Toronto Street South Residential Development

culvert at that low point that drains west towards an existing catchbasin EX. CB32 located on the east side of Toronto Street.

The existing site drainage splits three ways, southeast through an existing DICB (catchment 101), north through and existing ditch inlet and culvert to Toronto Street (catchment 102), and southeast through a, existing ditch inlet and culvert (Catchment 103). Catchments 101 and 103 are assumed to drain to the same storm sewer line indicated on the LGI Stormwater Drainage Plan and are indicated on **SWM-1 Pre-Development drainage plan**.

As seen on the LGI Stormwater Drainage Plan (STDP-1) included in **Appendix A**, the topographic information and drainage areas on SWM-1 generally confirm with STDP-1. In predevelopment conditions the existing stormwater flows all outlet to the same downstream creek. As seen in LGI Stormwater Drainage Plan (STDP-1), the two outlets are located approximately 65m from one another.

#### 4.0 DESIGN CRITERIA

The site will be designed based on the following criteria:

- Quantity Control Control post development flows to 5-year pre-development levels.
- Water Balance Provide Post to Pre Water Balance
- Volume Control Provide 25 mm retention for all impervious site area, alternative #1 for 12.5mm on-site retention, and alternative #2 for minimum 5mm on-site retention.
- Quality Control Provide 80% TSS Removal
- Phosphorus Removal As per Lake Simcoe Conservation Authority (LSRCA) provide a net 0 load increase in phosphorus to Lake Simcoe as per the Lake Simcoe Phosphorus Offsetting Policy

X

LARKIN+ LUPi 181 Toronto Street South Residential Development

## 5.0 QUANTITY CONTROL

Quantity control, water balance and volume control will be achieved through on-site detention storage and infiltration. Refer to SWM-2 for post development drainage areas.

#### **Quantity Control**

The pre-development drainage areas 101, 102, and 103 combined to provide 22.5 l/s of allowable release flow for the site. The proposed stormwater design controls the 100-year storm event to meet this 5-year pre-development flow.

Two separate underground chambers are located within the site. The first chamber system is composed of a Stormtech SC-310 units and are designed to retain and infiltrate the 25mm storm event from the clean roof runoff. These units are located at the east side of the site under the parking area. All roof flows in excess of the 25mm event will bypass the SC-310 chambers via an overflow outlet on the rainwater leader to splash pads and will flow overland to the proposed catchbasins.

The second chamber system is composed of Stormtech MC-3500 units and are designed for detention of flows up to and including the 100-year storm. The storage chamber for Area 201 is located within the driveway entrance of the site and will control the remainder of the site to a release rate of 5.4 L/s. This tank includes 119 m3 of storage. Storms more than the 100-year will flow overland through the site to Toronto Street. Calculations for stormwater quantity control are provided in **Appendix B**.

The other method of quantity control is pipe storage. The 450mm PVC storm pipes within the site will produce 7m3 of storage for the site. A summary of the predevelopment flow is compared to the post development conditions in **Table 1** below.

181 Toronto Street South Residential Development

	Pre-	developm	<u>ient</u>	Post	-developm	<u>nent</u>
Drainage Outlet	<u>Area ID</u>	<u>Area</u> (ha)	<u>Flow</u> <u>Rate</u> (I/s)	<u>Area ID</u>	<u>Area</u> (ha)	<u>Flow</u> <u>Rate</u> (I/s)
Existing South East Outlet	101	0.06	4.5	303	0.02	3.5
North West Culvert (Toronto St.)	102	0 1 2	0.0	301	0.04	11.5
North West Culvert (Toronto St.)	102	0.15	9.0	201	0.23	5.4
North East Outlet	103	0.11	8.2	302	0.01	2.1
Totals		0.3	22.5		0.3	22.5

v Summary

#### Table 2 – 100-Year Storage Summary

Area I.D	Storage Provided (m3)	Storage Required (m3)
Toron (Allowabl	r Outlet ar = 5.4 L/s)	
201 (Site) Underground Chamber Storage	119	
201 (site) Underground Pipe Storage	7	124
Total Storage	126	

Quantity control calculations are provided in **Appendix B**. A post development drainage plan is shown as **SWM-2**.

To confirm conveyance of the 100-year storm to the storage chamber an inlet capacity analysis was completed. Refer to **Appendix B** for calculations. The results found that there is capacity with the catchbasins including a 50% blockage factor to capture the 100-year storm. A storm design sheet was also produced and found that the storm pipes are adequately sized for conveying the 100-year storm event to the Stormtech Chamber.



181 Toronto Street South Residential Development

#### 6.0 WATER BALANCE AND VOLUME CONTROL

#### Water Balance

The water balance results are shown below in **Appendix B**. As seen in the summary table, the infiltration has been increased from predevelopment conditions via site grading and implementation of roof infiltration. Total infiltration for the site has an increase of 89.9%.

#### **Volume Control**

The volume control targets for the site include: 25mm volume control target, alternative #1 for 12.5mm on-site retention, and alternative #2 for minimum 5mm on-site retention. Various LIDs have been reviewed but due to site constraints, they have been deemed unfeasible for this development. The constraints with the site include high groundwater limiting the locations where infiltration is feasible and property, spatial and infrastructure restrictions.

For this site (0.20 ha of impermeable area), a total of  $18.75 \text{ m}^3$  of infiltration is proposed. This equals 25mm for the site roof area or 9.4 mm of infiltration for the entire site. This volume control does meet alternative #2 with a minimum of 5mm of onsite retention.

Based on the Toronto Inspection laboratory results, the recommended infiltration rate is 30.0 mm/hr after applying a safety factor of 2.5. This report also outlines the water table elevations within the site. At the location of the SC-310 Stormtech chambers (roof infiltration) (as per 21BH-4) the groundwater has a high elevation of 276.05 m. This provides 1.0 m clearance to the bottom of the system.

#### 7.0 QUALITY CONTROL

The proposed development shall target an enhanced level of quality control (80% TSS removal) for this site. Quality control will be provided by a treatment train approach utilizing the proposed Isolator Row Plus within the Stormtech chamber systems, the proposed CB shields, and the proposed infiltration volume.

#### LARKIN+ LUPi 181 Toronto Street South Residential Development

The Isolator Row Plus has been Verified to achieve the 80% TSS removal when acting alone and is also ETV certified. Specification for the Isolator Row Plus and the ETV certification have been provided in **Appendix B**. A calculation has also been provided for the proposed CB shields. The CB shields were found to provide 72% TSS removal. Therefore, the proposed Isolator Row Plus in combination with the CB shields will provide adequate treatment to achieve the 80% TSS removal quality control criteria.

#### 8.0 PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL

A phosphorus calculation has been prepared to determine the pre and post development phosphorus loading from the site. The existing and post development phosphorus loading is summarized in **Table** below. As seen below the site will be required to provide a phosphorus offset for the post development condition. The cost to be paid is **\$10,083.34**.

The pre and post-development have been evaluated based on the Hutchinson report "Phosphorus Budget Tool in support of Sustainable Development for the lake Simcoe Watershed", dated March 30, 2012. The pre-development conditions for the site have been considered as low intensity development due to the cleared areas with low density of trees, and proximity to adjacent residential development. In proposed conditions the site is characterized as high intensity development.

#### **Table 3 Phosphorus Loading Summary**

Phosphorus Loading Summary				
Existing Conditions	0.039	kg/year		
Proposed Conditions with no BMP	0.396	kg/year		
Proposed Conditions with BMP	0.098	kg/year		
Post Development % Phosphorus Removal	75%			

Phosphorus calculations are provided in **Appendix B**.



LARKIN+ LUPi 181 Toronto Street South Residential Development

### 9.0 PROPOSED GRADING

Grading for the site will be implemented to follow the Township of Uxbridge minimum criteria for Lot Grading. Overland flow routes will be provided such that stormwater will be safely conveyed offsite and maintain existing conditions. Refer to drawing C-1, Grading Plan for details included in **Appendix E**.

### **10.0 WATER SERVICING**

The site will be serviced by making a connection to the existing 300mm PVC watermain within Toronto Street South. The proposed watermain is 150mm PVC watermain. As per the Region of Durham standards, a water meter room will be installed within the site prior to distribution to individual units and for fire protection. Currently Toronto Street South has existing hydrants spaced 150m along the front of this site. This provides adequate spatial coverage for fire protection and therefore no additional hydrants are proposed on this site.

Water demand calculations were completed per Region of Durham standards and a max day plus fire flow for the site is 5,037 L/min. Water demand calculations can be found in **Appendix C**. As per Region comments received December 8<sup>th</sup>, 2022, the estimate watermain pressure is at the site 78 psi. A hydrant flow test will be scheduled for spring 2024 to confirm available fire flow rates to the site.

### **11.0 SANITARY SERVICING**

Sanitary servicing for the site will be completed by making a connection from each lot to the 375mm VC sanitary sewer located within the Toronto Street ROW as per Region of Durham standards S-100.020. The proposed connections will be made with 150mm PVC pipe. The total sanitary flow rate for the 10 units was calculated per Region of Durham standards. The flow rate for the proposed site is 0.56 L/s. Calculations can be found in **Appendix D**.



181 Toronto Street South Residential Development

#### **12.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES**

The Erosion and Sediment Control Measures Provided generally follow the Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for Urban Construction (December 2006), Prepared by the Greater Golden Horseshoe Area Conservation Authorities. As illustrated in Drawing EC-01 the sediment control measures are summarized below:

- 1. Silt Fences are to be installed along the limit of the property prior to construction activities such as topsoil stripping and topsoil stockpiling.
- 2. Catch Basin and Area Drain Inlet Protection Filter are to be installed on all catch basins and area drains.
- 3. Mud Mat is to be installed at the construction entrance prior to the commencement of earthworks in order to reduce mud tracking onto municipal roads.

#### **Construction Sequencing**

The following are the construction sequencing with respect to sediment control:

- 1. Installation of all silt fences along the limit of property, and sediment traps.
- 2. Installation of mud mat for construction access.
- 3. Topsoil stripping, stockpiling and excavate the site for the construction of the buildings.
- 4. Installation of site servicing and underground utilities.
- 5. Installation of CB and Area Drain sediment traps.
- 6. Construction of the buildings.
- 7. Restore or re-vegetate all disturbed area with temporary measures or with final landscaping and paving; and,
- 8. Remove sediment control measures when all disturbed areas are stabilized.

#### **Inspection and Maintenance**

Inspection, maintenance, and record keeping for all sediment control measures are to be conducted on a regular basis to ensure they operate effectively.

The minimum inspection frequency during all construction stages is to be as follows:

• On a weekly basis.



181 Toronto Street South Residential Development

- After every rainfall event.
- After significant snowmelt events; and,
- Daily during extended rain or snowmelt periods.

During inactive construction periods, when the site is left alone for 30 days or longer, a monthly inspection should be conducted.

All damaged sediment control measures should be repaired and/or replaced within 48 hours of the inspection.

#### Record Keeping Procedure

Maintenance record keeping of all the Erosion and Sediment Control requirements will be conducted by Counterpoint Engineering's field representative, or his/her designee. The minimum inspection frequency during all construction stages is to be as follows:

- · On a weekly basis.
- After every rainfall event.
- After significant snowmelt events; and,
- Daily during extended rain or snowmelt periods.

During inactive construction periods, when the Site is left alone for 30 days or longer, a monthly inspection should be conducted. All damaged erosion and sediment control measures should be repaired and / or replaced within 48 hours of the inspection.



181 Toronto Street South Residential Development

### **13.0 CONCLUSIONS**

Based on the assessment provided above, the residential development will meet the stormwater management criteria via the following methods:

- Quantity Control, Water Balance and Volume Control will be met with infiltration and stormwater storage.
- Quality Control will be met via inherently clean surfaces, Isolator Row Plus, CB Sheilds and stormwater infiltration.
- Phosphorus Removal will be met using the CB Sheilds, Isolator Row Plus and infiltration areas on site.

We trust the information provided in this report meets with your requirements. Should there be any questions or comments, please feel free to contact the undersigned.

# Sincerely, Counterpoint Engineering



Jude Yoganathan, P.Eng

Scott Corley, E.I.T.











181 Toronto Street South Residential Development

# Appendix A Background Information







1	1		Wind the second	TOU DACIN	13010	date and a second second	001	CONNETTERAN	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	INV.		GRATE	INVERT	ELEV.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		s S
-	OPSD	NO.	CHAINAGE	EP.	IN	Ουτ	LEN.	DIA. OF	GRADE
	705.010,400.020	76					<u>(m)</u>	300 65-1	) 2.0
	701.010,400.020	28	74 (C.).	a kanada bar ayar da sa Sanaga Babada sa sa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ter et service d'art d'art d'art d'art de la service d La service de la service de La service de la service de		300 65-1	1.0
	701.010,400.020	30	i in an in in	and a second		and a second		300 65-1	) 1.0
∕₅∖	705.020,400.020	27A	n an chronin an Star Star	an an ann an ann an ann an ann an ann an a		279.37	2.0	300 65-1	) <u>1.0</u>
				en e		a and an	1		
				n an		n a standar an		er	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	<b>u por terrete</b> renterenterenterenterenterentere				n segen de s	میں بیان ہے۔ مرکزہ کی ایکر میں است اکار کی ایک ایک ایک کی
					DADILIC	EP DATA	CPADE		e - e an de angele de la composition de La composition de la c La composition de la c
			CHAINAGE	ELEV.	(m)	(m)	(%)	ŌFFS	ЕТ 
		89A	2+444.93	281.86		51.359		9.25m	WEST
		90 90A	2+496.20	280.74	150	8.740		6.20m	WEST
		91	2+743.19	276.57	-	238.256		6.00m	WEST
							- 		
*		NO	TFS						· · · ·
		GF	FUR GEN	ERAL NU	HES RE	FER IU	DWG. (	GEN-1 &	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
		2.	FOR DRIV	EWAY PI	ROFILE D	ETAILS,	REFER	TO DWG.	<u>s</u> 2
			& <u>5</u> .3.						
	-			and an					ers An Seco
			н 1911 - 1914 - 1914 - 1914 - 1914 - 1914 - 1914 - 1914 - 1914 - 1914 - 1914 - 1914 - 1914 - 1914 - 1914 - 1914	en la filipitation de la constante de la consta La constante de la constante de				n series de la composition de la compos	na st
			1	n she Dan				t the	alla San Band
				an taon an taon Taon an taon an					
		2	a Ariana Ariana Ariana		-			and the second second	al.
		47 BC 1913							
		de la fada				· · · ·			
		Sec. A.							
			·.						
		1							
					na an Na Angelana Na Angelana Na Angelana	ing for here, system de data de dé de de journe participation de la company a dé dé de de de la company a dé dé		na na salahirin na s Salahirin na salahirin na salahiri	- 19 statistica (m. 1995) 19 statistica (m. 1995)
			(3) 					<u>en en e</u>	<u>Sala a Gardina</u> Sala Sala Sala Sala Sala Sala Sala Sala
					in an	and and a second state of the s Second state of the second state o	a se	eren Eren er en bestalter er Brandel Eren er er er er er	liobalias antinez de la AS-1044
		5	17/5/07	MW MI	1 27 REVISE	D, DCB 27A /	NDDED	ener i stratieren.	e, saadaada ki shartiyayaa
i.		2	02/10/06	MW	SUED FOR CO	ONSTRUCTION		an an tha Albert States an Albert States and Albert States and Albert States and Albert States and Albert States	el la tato-la trattante 
		. 1	21/07/06	MW IS:	SUED FOR TE	NDER		den an	entitan pentreser etan. 
				ALANJE .	an de la companya de La companya de la comp	REV	ISIONS	lanar antar - priza anna anna. Carl	GRA - CANGONIN FRANK SALAN SALAN
		NO.	DATE	NAME.			an		
		NO.	DATE		UTILITIES	VERIFIED	Sent Contract of Albert Sentences and a strategy -		ner an
		NO.	DATE	200	UTILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO		2005	92.93
		NO. CABLI BELL	date E. T.V. CANADA	20( 20(	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME	ER GAS	2005 2005	02 03 02 14
		NO. CABLI BELL	DATE E. T. V. CANADA RACTOR T	200 200 200	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME	ER GAS	2005 2005	02.07 02.14 XISTING
		NO. CABLI BELL, CONT U/G	DATE E. T. V. CANADA RACTOR T & OVERHEA	200 200 200 D BE R	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES	ER GAS	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE	02 07 02 14 KISTING
		NO. CABLI BELL, CONT U/G NOTIC THE I	DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR TI & OVERHEA DE PRIOR TI REGION ASS	200 200 200 0 BE R 0 UTILITIE 0 DIGGING 5UMES NO	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. IBILITY FOF	ER GAS OCATION S REQUI	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O	02 03 02 14 KISTING F
		NO. CABLI BELL, CONT U/G NOTIC THE I THE I	DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR T & OVERHEA E PRIOR T REGION ASS LOCATION O	200 200 200 0 BE R 0 UTILITIE 0 DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS G UTILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. IBILITY FOF S AS INDIC	ER GAS OCATION S REQUI	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O N THIS DRA	02 03 02 14 <u>X</u> ISTING F WING,
		NO. CABLI BELL, CONT U/G NOTIC THE I THE I	DATE E T.V. CANADA RACTOR T & OVERHE/ E PRIOR T REGION ASS LOCATION O	200 200 200 0 BE R ND UTILITIE 0 DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS S UTILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. IBILITY FOF S AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O N THIS DRA SURVEY DATA E 2004 12 2	02 03 02 14 <u>Kisting</u> F Wing, Ate
		NO. CABLI BELL, CONT U/G NOTIC THE I THE I	DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR T & OVERHE/ E PRIOR T REGION ASS LOCATION O	200 200 0 BE R ND UTILITIE 0 DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS G UTILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. IBILITY FOR AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O N THIS DRA SURVEY DATA D 2004 12 2	02 03 02 14 KISTING F WING. ATE 4
		NO. CABLI BELL, CONT U/G NOTIC THE I THE I	DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR T & OVERHE/ E PRIOR T REGION ASS LOCATION O	200 200 0 BE R 0 UTILITIE 0 DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS G UTILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. IBILITY FOR AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O N THIS DRA SURVEY DATA D 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA	02 02 02 14 KISTING WING. ATE 4
		NO. CABLI BELL, CONT U/G NOTIC THE I	DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR TI & OVERHEA E PRIOR TI REGION ASS LOCATION O	200 200 0 BE R 0 UTILITIE 0 DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTIN	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS G UTILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. IBILITY FOF S AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O N THIS DRA SURVEY DATA E 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA 0	02 03 02 14 KISTING WING. ATE 4 1.0m
		NO. CABLI BELL, CONT U/G NOTIC THE I THE I	DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR TI & OVERHEA E PRIOR TI REGION ASS LOCATION O	200 200 0 BE R AD UTILITIE 0 DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS G UTILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. IBILITY FOR S AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O N THIS DRA SURVEY DATA D 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA O VERTICAL	02 03 02 14 KISTING WING, MTE 4
		NO. CABLI BELL, CONT U/G NOTIC THE I THE I	DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR TI & OVERHEA CE PRIOR TI REGION ASS LOCATION O	200 200 0 BE R AD UTILITIE 0 DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS 3 UTILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT, IBILITY FOF S AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 L OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O N THIS DRA SURVEY DATA D 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA 0 VERTICAL	02 03 02 14 KISTING WING. MTE 4 10m 1m
		NO. CABLI BELL CONT U/G NOTIC THE I THE I	DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR TI & OVERHEA DE PRIOR TI REGION ASS LOCATION O	200 200 0 BE R 10 UTILITIE 0 DIGGING 50MES NO F EXISTING	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS 3 UTILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. BILITY FOR S AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 L OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O THIS DRA SURVEY DATA D 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA 0 VERTICAL 0	02 03 02 14 KISTING WING. MTE 4 10m 1m 21
		NO. CABLI BELL CONT U/G NOTIC THE I THE I	DATE E.T.V. CANADA RACTOR TI & OVERHEA DE PRIOR TI REGION ASS LOCATION O	200 200 0 BE R D UTILITIE 0 DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING F EXISTING	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS 3 UTILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. BILITY FOR AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 L OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O N THIS DRA SURVEY DATA D 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA 0 VERTICAL 0 VERTICAL	02 03 02 14 KISTING WING. MIE 4 10m 1m 21
		NO. CABLI BELL CONTI U/G NOTIC THE I THE I	DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR TI & OVERHEA E PRIOR TI REGION ASS LOCATION O DRAWN: K DESIGN: J. CHECKED: R APPROVED: M	200 200 0 BE R ND UTILITIE 0 DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS UTILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME S UTILITIES KE OUT. BILITY FOR AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O THIS DRA SURVEY DATA D 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA 0 VERTICAL 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07	02 03 02 14 KISTING WING. ATE 4 10m 1m 21 21 21 21 21 21
		NO. CABLI BELL, CONT U/G NOTIC THE I THE I	DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR T & OVERHEA E PRIOR T REGION ASS LOCATION O DRAWN: K DESIGN: J CHECKED: R APPROVED: M	200 200 0 BE R 0 UTILITIE 0 DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING KIELAR LAPALME WILSON	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS UTILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME S UTILITIES KE OUT. BILITY FOR AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O THIS DRA SURVEY DATA D 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA 0 VERTICAL 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07	02 03 02 14 KISTING WING. ATE 4 10m 1m 21 21 21 21 21 21
		NO. CABLI BELL, CONT U/G NOTIC THE I THE I	DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR T & OVERHEA E PRIOR T REGION ASS LOCATION O DRAWN: K DESIGN: J. CHECKED: P APPROVED: M	200 200 0 BE R 0 UTILITIE 0 DIGGING 5UMES NO F EXISTING F E EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F E E EXISTING F E E EXISTING F E E EXISTING F E E E EXISTING F E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS S UTILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME S UTILITIES KE OUT. BILITY FOR S AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O N THIS DRA SURVEY DATA E 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA 0 VERTICAL 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07	02 03 02 14 xisting Fung. Are 4 10m 1m 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21
		NO. CABLI BELL CONT U/G NOTIC THE I THE I	DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR T & OVERHEZ E PRIOR T REGION ASS LOCATION O DRAWN: K DESIGN: J. CHECKED: P APPROVED: M	200 200 0 BE R 0 UTILITIE 0 DIGGING 5 MES NO F EXISTING F E EXISTING F EXISTI	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS G UTILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME S UTILITIES KE OUT. IBILITY FOR S AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O N THIS DRA SURVEY DATA E 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA VERTICAL 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07	02 03 02 12 KISTING Fuing. ATE 4 1 10m 1 m 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21
		NO. CABLI BELL CONT U/G NOTIC THE I THE I	DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR TI & OVERHEA E PRIOR TI REGION ASS LOCATION O DRAWN: K DESIGN: J. CHECKED: R APPROVED: M	200 200 0 BE R 0 UTILITIE 0 DIGGING 5 MES NO F EXISTING F E E EXISTING F E E EXISTING F E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS 5 UTILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME S UTILITIES KE OUT. IBILITY FOF S AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O N THIS DRA SURVEY DATA D 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA VERTICAL 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07	02 03 02 14 KISTING FWING ATE 4 1 10m 1 10 1 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 2
		NO. CABLI BELL CONT U/G NOTIC THE I THE I	DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR TI & OVERHEA E PRIOR TI REGION ASS LOCATION O DRAWN: K DESIGN: J. CHECKED: P APPROVED: M	200 200 0 BE R 0 UTILITIE 0 DIGGING 5 DIGGING 5 MES NO F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING Chish Consu	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS 3 UTILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME S UTILITIES KE OUT. IBILITY FOR S AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O N THIS DRA SURVEY DATA D 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA VERTICAL 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07	02 03 02 14 KISTING WING. AIE 4 1 10m 1m 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21
		NO. CABLI BELL CONT U/G NOTIC THE THE THE	DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR TI & OVERHEA E PRIOR TI REGION ASS LOCATION O DRAWN: K DESIGN: J. CHECKED: M APPROVED: M	200 200 D BE R D UTILITIE D DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING Chish Chish COnst	UTILITIES 5 01 17 5 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS 3 UTILITIES OIM, F IJUING O	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME S UTILITIES KE OUT. IBILITY FOR S AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O THIS DRA SURVEY DATA D 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA 0 VERTICAL 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07	02 03 02 14 xisting wing. 4 10m 1m 21 21 21 21 21 21 21
			DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR TI & OVERHEA E PRIOR TI REGION ASS LOCATION O DRAWN: K DESIGN: J. CHECKED: R APPROVED: M	200 200 D BE R D UTILITIE D DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING Chish Chish Consu Chish	UTILITIES 5 01 17 5 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS UTILITIES OIM, F Iting C	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME S UTILITIES KE OUT. BILITY FOR AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O THIS DRA SURVEY DATA D 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07	02 03 02 12 KISTING WING. MTE 4 10m 1m 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21
			DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR T & OVERHEA E PRIOR T REGION ASS LOCATION O DRAWN: K DESIGN: J CHECKED: M CHECKED: M	200 200 0 BE R 0 UTILITIE 0 DIGGING 5 MES NO F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING Chish consu Chish	UTILITIES 5 01 17 5 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS UTILITIES OIM, F IJUING REGIC OF	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME S UTILITIES KE OUT. BILITY FOR S AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O I THIS DRA SURVEY DATA E 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA VERTICAL 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07	02 03 02 12 KISTING WING. ATE 4 10m 1m 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21
			DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR TR & OVERHEA E PRIOR TR REGION ASS LOCATION O DRAWN: K DESIGN: J CHECKED: M APPROVED: M	200 200 0 BE R 0 UTILITIE 0 DIGGING 5 MES NO F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING Chish Chish Consu	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS 0 UTILITIES 0 ITILITIES 0 ITILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. BILITY FOR S AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O THIS DRA SURVEY DATA E 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA VERTICAL 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07	02 03 02 12 KISTING WING. MIE 4 10m 1m 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21
LEN	IGTHS, SIZES		DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR T & OVERHEA E PRIOR T REGION ASS LOCATION O DRAWN: K DESIGN: J CHECKED: R APPROVED: M	200 200 0 BE R 0 UTILITIE 0 DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING Chish Chish COASL Chish	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS 0 UTILITIES	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. IBILITY FOF S AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O THIS DRA SURVEY DATA D 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA VERTICAL 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07	02 03 02 14 KISTING FWING. MTE 4 1 10m 1 1 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21
LEN	IGTHS, SIZES		DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR T & OVERHEA E PRIOR T REGION ASS LOCATION O DRAWN: K DESIGN: J. CHECKED: P APPROVED: M	200 200 0 BE R 0 UTILITIE 0 DIGGING 5 EXISTING F E F E F E F E F E F E F E F E F E F E	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS 0 UTILITIES OIM, F IITING OIM, F IITING WOR	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. IBILITY FOF S AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O THIS DRA SURVEY DATA D 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA VERTICAL 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07	02 03 02 12 KISTING WING. MTE 4 1 10m 1 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 2
LEN	IGTHS, SIZES		DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR TR & OVERHEA E PRIOR TR REGION ASS LOCATION O APPROVED: M CHECKED: P APPROVED: M	200 200 D BE R D UTILITIE D DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING F EXISTIN	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS UTILITIES OIM, F IJTING REGIC OF WOR WOR	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. IBILITY FOR S AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O THIS DRA SURVEY DATA D 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07 2006 07	02 03 02 12 KISTING WING. MTE 4 10m 1m 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21
LEN	IGTHS, SIZES ND GRADES ORM SEWER		DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR TR & OVERHEA E PRIOR TR REGION ASS LOCATION O DRAWN: K DESIGN: J. CHECKED: R APPROVED: M CHECKED: R APPROVED: M	200 200 D BE R D UTILITIE D DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING F EXISTIN	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS UTILITIES OIM, F ITING REGIC OF WOR NTO PARK DR.	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. BILITY FOR S AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 IL OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O THIS DRA SURVEY DATA D 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA 2004 07 2006 07	02 03 02 12 KISTING FWING. MTE 4 10m 1m 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21
LEN AI STI	IGTHS, SIZES ND GRADES ORM SEWER VERT ELEV.		DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR TR & OVERHEA E PRIOR TR REGION ASS LOCATION O DRAWN: K DESIGN: J CHECKED: R APPROVED: M CHECKED: R APPROVED: M CHECKED: M CHECKED: M CHECKED: M CHECKED: M CHECKED: M CHECKED: M	200 200 D BE R D UTILITE D DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING F EXISTING	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS UTILITIES OIM, F ITING REGIC OF WOR NTO PARK DR.	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. BILITY FOR S AS INDIC	ER GAS	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O I THIS DRA SURVEY DATA E 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA VERTICAL 2006 07 2006 07	02 03 02 12 KISTING WING. MTE 4 10m 1m 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21
LEN Al ST IN	IGTHS, SIZES ND GRADES ORM SEWER VERT ELEV: Q OF		DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR T & OVERHEA E PRIOR T REGION ASS LOCATION O DRAWN: K DESIGN: J CHECKED: M CHECKED: M CHECKED: M M CHECKED: M M CHECKED: M APPROVED: M CHECKED: M CHEC	200 200 0 BE R DIGGING DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING F EX	UTILITIES 05 01 17 05 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS UTILITIES OIM, F IJUING REGIC OF WOR NTO PARK DR.	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. BILITY FOR S AS INDIC IEMING IEMING IEMING IEMING IEMING NAL I DUR KS DEPAI KS DEPAI	ER GAS	2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O THIS DRA SURVEY DATA E 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA VERTICAL 2006 07 2006 07	02 03 02 14 xisting Fing. 4 10m 1m 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21
LEN AI STI IN	IGTHS, SIZES ND GRADES ORM SEWER VERT ELEV: Q OF INSTRUCTION		DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR TR & OVERHEA E PRIOR TR REGION ASS LOCATION O DRAWN: K DESIGN: J CHECKED: M APPROVED: M CHECKED: M APPROVED: M S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	200 200 0 BE R DIGGING DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING F EXISTING Chish Consu THE TORCO OF ELGIN G. RD. NO 477	UTILITIES D5 01 17 D5 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS UTILITIES OIM, F ILTING OF WOR NTO PARK DR. TO CONTRAC	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. BILITY FOR S AS INDIC I E MINING I E MINING E MINING KS DEPAI NAL I E DUR KS DEPAI STR TO 303 r	ER GAS	2005 2005 2005 I OF ALL E RE ADVANCE CCURACY O N THIS DRA SURVEY DATA E 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA VERTICAL 2006 07 2006 07	02 03 22 12 KISTING WING. ATE 4 10m 1m 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21
LEN AI ST IN CO	IGTHS, SIZES ND GRADES ORM SEWER VERT ELEV. Q OF INSTRUCTION CHAINAGE		DATE E. T.V. CANADA RACTOR TR & OVERHEA E PRIOR TR REGION ASS LOCATION O DRAWN: K DESIGN: J CHECKED: M CHECKED: M APPROVED: M SOLUTION WH SOLUTION WH SOLUTION RE AWING NUMBER AWING NUMBER	200 200 0 BE R DIGGING DIGGING SUMES NO F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING NE F EXISTING F EXISTING F EXISTING C F EXISTING C RD NO F ELGIN G. RD NO 47	UTILITIES D5 01 17 D5 03 01 ESPONSIBI S. VARIOU FOR STA RESPONS UTILITIES OIM, F ILTING OF WOR NTO PARK DR. TC CONTRAC	VERIFIED HYDRO CONSUME E FOR L S UTILITIES KE OUT. IBILITY FOR S AS INDIC I E MINING I E MINING S AS INDIC I E MINING I E MININA I E MININA MIN	ER GAS	2005 2005 2005 IL OF ALL ER ADVANCE CCURACY ON THIS DRA SURVEY DATA D 2004 12 2 SCALE HORIZONTA VERTICAL 2006 07 2006 07	02 03 02 14 KISTING FWING. MIE 4 1 10m 1 m 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21

Lark+ Lupi



181 Toronto Street South Residential Development

# Appendix B Stormwater Management





#### SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS 5-Year Flow Rate Calculations - Pre Development Area 101

Project Name: 181 Toronto Street Municipality: Uxbridge Project No.: 21026 Date: 24-Nov-23

#### Prepared by: S.C

Last Revised: 24-Nov-23

#### **Rainfall Data**

Location:	Uxbridge	а	904
Event	5-year	b	5
		С	0.788

#### Site Data

Area (ha)	0.06
Runoff Coefficient	0.25
AC	0.02
Tc (min)	10
Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	107
Rational Flow Rate (I/s)	4.5

#### The Rational Equation:

 $Q = \frac{(C)(i)(A)}{2}$ 360

where,

- Q
- = the design flow (m<sup>3</sup>/s) = the site specific runoff coefficient С
- А = the drainage area (ha)
- = rainfall intensity (mm/hr) i



#### SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS 5-Year Flow Rate Calculations - Pre Development Area 102

Project Name: 181 Toronto Street Municipality: Uxbridge Project No.: 21026 Date: 24-Nov-23

#### Prepared by: S.C

Last Revised: 24-Nov-23

#### **Rainfall Data**

Location:	Uxbridge	а	904
Event	5-year	b	5
		С	0.788

#### Site Data

Area (ha)	0.13
Runoff Coefficient	0.25
AC	0.03
Tc (min)	10
Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	107
Rational Flow Rate (I/s)	9.8

#### The Rational Equation:

 $Q = \frac{(C)(i)(A)}{2}$ 360

where,

- Q
- = the design flow (m<sup>3</sup>/s) = the site specific runoff coefficient С
- А = the drainage area (ha)
- = rainfall intensity (mm/hr) i



#### SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS 5-Year Flow Rate Calculations - Pre Development Area 103

Project Name: 181 Toronto Street Municipality: Uxbridge Project No.: 21026 Date: 24-Nov-23

#### Prepared by: S.C

Last Revised: 24-Nov-23

#### **Rainfall Data**

Location:	Uxbridge	а	904
Event	nt <b>5-year</b>		5
		С	0.788

#### Site Data

Area (ha)	0.11
Runoff Coefficient	0.25
AC	0.03
Tc (min)	10
Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	107
Rational Flow Rate (I/s)	8.2

#### The Rational Equation:

 $Q = \frac{(C)(i)(A)}{2}$ 360

where,

- Q
- = the design flow (m<sup>3</sup>/s) = the site specific runoff coefficient С
- А = the drainage area (ha)
- = rainfall intensity (mm/hr) i



#### SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS

100-Year Flow Rate Calculations - Post Development Uncontrolled Area 301

Project Name: 181 Toronto Street Municipality: Uxbridge Project No.: 21026 Date: 24-Nov-23

#### Prepared by: S.C

Last Revised: 24-Nov-23

#### **Rainfall Data**

Location:	Uxbridge	а	1799
Event	100-year	b	5
		С	0.81

#### Site Data

Area (ha)	0.04
Runoff Coefficient	0.52
AC	0.02
Tc (min)	10
Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	201
Rational Flow Rate (I/s)	11.5

#### The Rational Equation:

 $Q = \frac{(C)(i)(A)}{2}$ 360

where,

Q

- = the design flow (m<sup>3</sup>/s) = the site specific runoff coefficient С
- А = the drainage area (ha)
- = rainfall intensity (mm/hr) i



#### SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS

**100-Year Flow Rate Calculations - Post Development Uncontrolled Area 302** 

Project Name: 181 Toronto Street Municipality: Uxbridge Project No.: 21026 Date: 24-Nov-23

#### Prepared by: S.C

Last Revised: 24-Nov-23

#### **Rainfall Data**

Location:	Uxbridge	а	1799
Event	100-year	b	5
		С	0.81

#### Site Data

Area (ha)	0.01
Runoff Coefficient	0.31
AC	0.00
Tc (min)	10
Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	201
Rational Flow Rate (I/s)	2.1

#### The Rational Equation:

 $Q = \frac{(C)(i)(A)}{2}$ 360

where,

Q

- = the design flow (m<sup>3</sup>/s) = the site specific runoff coefficient С
- А = the drainage area (ha)
- = rainfall intensity (mm/hr) i



#### SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS

**100-Year Flow Rate Calculations - Post Development Uncontrolled Area 303** 

Project Name: 181 Toronto Street Municipality: Uxbridge Project No.: 21026 Date: 24-Nov-23

#### Prepared by: S.C

Last Revised: 24-Nov-23

#### **Rainfall Data**

Location:	Uxbridge	а	1799
Event 100-year		b	5
		С	0.81

#### Site Data

Area (ha)	0.02
Runoff Coefficient	0.31
AC	0.01
Tc (min)	10
Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	201
Rational Flow Rate (I/s)	3.5

#### The Rational Equation:

 $Q = \frac{(C)(i)(A)}{2}$ 360

where,

- Q
- = the design flow (m<sup>3</sup>/s) = the site specific runoff coefficient С
- А = the drainage area (ha)
- = rainfall intensity (mm/hr) i





#### SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS **Required Storage Calculations - Area 201**

Project Name: 181 Toronto Street Municipality: Uxbridge Project No.: 21026 Date: 24-Nov-23

Prepared by: S.C

Last Revised: 24-Nov-23

#### **Rainfall Data**

Location:	Uxbridge	а	1799
Event	100-year	b	5
		С	0.81

#### Site Data

Area (ha)	0.23
Runoff Coefficient	0.98
AC	0.22
Tc (min)	10
Time Increment (min)	5
Release Rate (I/s)	5.4
Storage Required (m <sup>3</sup> )	123

#### The Rational Equation:

$$Q = \frac{(C)(i)(A)}{360}$$

where,

- Q C
- = the design flow (m<sup>3</sup>/s) = the site specific runoff coefficient
- А = the drainage area (ha) i
- = rainfall intensity (mm/hr)

Time		Storm	Runoff	Released	Storage	
Time	Rainfall Intensity	Runoff	Volume	Volume	Volume	
(min)	(mm/hr)	(m <sup>3</sup> /s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(m <sup>3</sup> )	
10	201	0.13	75	3	72	
15	159	0.10	89	5	84	
20	133	0.08	99	6	93	
25	114	0.07	107	8	99	
30	101	0.06	113	10	104	
35	91	0.06	119	11	107	
40	82	0.05	123	13	110	
45	76	0.05	127	15	113	
50	70	0.04	131	16	115	
55	65	0.04	134	18	117	
60	61	0.04	137	19	118	
65	58	0.04	140	21	119	
70	54	0.03	143	23	120	
75	52	0.03	145	24	121	
80	49	0.03	147	26	121	
85	47	0.03	149	27	122	
90	45	0.03	151	29	122	
95	43	0.03	153	31	123	
100	41	0.03	155	32	123	
105	40	0.02	157	34	123	
110	39	0.02	159	36	123	******
115	37	0.02	160	37	123	
120	36	0.02	162	39	123	



#### SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS Composite Runoff Area 201

Project Name: 181 Toronto Street Municipality: Uxbridge Project No.: 21026 Date: 24-Nov-23

 Bainfall Data
 5-Year
 100-year

 Location:
 Uxbridge
 a
 904
 1799

 b
 5
 5
 5

 c
 0.788
 0.81

#### PRE-DEV

AREA ID

Composite RC Value		Area [ha]	С	RC * Area
IMP		0.19	0.90	0.171
PERV		0.04	0.25	0.010
	Total	0.23		0.181
		Divided by Tot	al Area =	0.79

		x1.1	x1.2	x1.25
Storm Event	5-year	25-year	50-year	100-year
Runnoff Coeffiecient	0.79	0.87	0.94	0.98

# \_\_\_\_\_0

Counterpoint Engineering Inc. 8395 Jane Street, Suite 100 Vaughan, Ontario L4K 5Y2 TEL: (905) 326-1404 FAX: (905) 326-1405 www.counterpointeng.com

#### Last Revised: 24-Nov-23

Prepared by: S.C



#### SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS Composite Runoff Area Uncotrolled (301,302,303)

Project Name: 181 Toronto Street Municipality: Uxbridge

Project No.: 21026

Date: 24-Nov-23

Rainfall Data			5-Year	100-year
Location:	Uxbridge	а	904	1799
		b	5	5
		С	0.788	0.81

#### PRE-DEV

AREA ID

Composite RC Value		Area [ha]	С	RC * Area
IMP		0.01	0.90	0.009
PERV		0.03	0.25	0.008
	Total	0.04		0.017
		Divided by Tot	al Area =	0.41

		x1.1	x1.2	x1.25
Storm Event	5-year	25-year	50-year	100-year
Runnoff Coeffiecient	0.41	0.45	0.50	0.52

		x1.1	x1.2	x1.25
Storm Event	5-year	25-year	50-year	100-year
Runnoff Coeffiecient	0.25	0.28	0.30	0.31

Prepared by: S.C

Last Revised: 24-Nov-23

#### **PROJECT INFORMATION**

ENGINEERED PRODUCT MANAGER	
ADS SALES REP	
PROJECT NO.	



# TO 2 UXBRIDGE, ON, CANADA

#### SC-310 STORMTECH CHAMBER SPECIFICATIONS

- CHAMBERS SHALL BE STORMTECH SC-310. 1.
- CHAMBERS SHALL BE ARCH-SHAPED AND SHALL BE MANUFACTURED FROM VIRGIN, IMPACT-MODIFIED POLYPROPYLENE OR 2. POLYETHYLENE COPOLYMERS.
- CHAMBERS SHALL BE CERTIFIED TO CSA B184, "POLYMERIC SUB-SURFACE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STRUCTURES", AND MEET 3. THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM F2922 (POLETHYLENE) OR ASTM F2418 (POLYPROPYLENE), "STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS".
- CHAMBER ROWS SHALL PROVIDE CONTINUOUS, UNOBSTRUCTED INTERNAL SPACE WITH NO INTERNAL SUPPORTS THAT WOULD Δ IMPEDE FLOW OR LIMIT ACCESS FOR INSPECTION.
- THE STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THE CHAMBERS, THE STRUCTURAL BACKFILL, AND THE INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS SHALL ENSURE THAT THE LOAD FACTORS SPECIFIED IN THE AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS. SECTION 12.12, ARE MET FOR: 1) LONG-DURATION DEAD LOADS AND 2) SHORT-DURATION LIVE LOADS, BASED ON THE CSA S6 CL-625 TRUCK AND THE AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK WITH CONSIDERATION FOR IMPACT AND MULTIPLE VEHICLE PRESENCES.
- CHAMBERS SHALL BE DESIGNED, TESTED AND ALLOWABLE LOAD CONFIGURATIONS DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM F2787, 6 "STANDARD PRACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THERMOPLASTIC CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS". LOAD CONFIGURATIONS SHALL INCLUDE: 1) INSTANTANEOUS (<1 MIN) AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK LIVE LOAD ON MINIMUM COVER 2) MAXIMUM PERMANENT (75-YR) COVER LOAD AND 3) ALLOWABLE COVER WITH PARKED (1-WEEK) AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK.

#### REQUIREMENTS FOR HANDLING AND INSTALLATION: 7

- TO MAINTAIN THE WIDTH OF CHAMBERS DURING SHIPPING AND HANDLING, CHAMBERS SHALL HAVE INTEGRAL, INTERLOCKING STACKING LUGS.
- TO ENSURE A SECURE JOINT DURING INSTALLATION AND BACKFILL, THE HEIGHT OF THE CHAMBER JOINT SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 50 mm (2").
- TO ENSURE THE INTEGRITY OF THE ARCH SHAPE DURING INSTALLATION. a) THE ARCH STIFFNESS CONSTANT AS DEFINED IN SECTION 6.2.8 OF ASTM F2922 SHALL BE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 400 LBS/FT/%. AND b) TO RESIST CHAMBER DEFORMATION DURING INSTALLATION AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES (ABOVE 23° C / 73° F), CHAMBERS SHALL BE PRODUCED FROM REFLECTIVE GOLD OR YELLOW COLORS.
- ONLY CHAMBERS THAT ARE APPROVED BY THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER WILL BE ALLOWED. UPON REQUEST BY THE SITE DESIGN 8. ENGINEER OR OWNER. THE CHAMBER MANUFACTURER SHALL SUBMIT A STRUCTURAL EVALUATION FOR APPROVAL BEFORE DELIVERING CHAMBERS TO THE PROJECT SITE AS FOLLOWS:
  - THE STRUCTURAL EVALUATION SHALL BE SEALED BY A REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER.
  - THE STRUCTURAL EVALUATION SHALL DEMONSTRATE THAT THE SAFETY FACTORS ARE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 1.95 FOR DEAD LOAD AND 1.75 FOR LIVE LOAD, THE MINIMUM REQUIRED BY ASTM F2787 AND BY SECTIONS 3 AND 12.12 OF THE AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS FOR THERMOPLASTIC PIPE.
  - THE TEST DERIVED CREEP MODULUS AS SPECIFIED IN ASTM F2922 SHALL BE USED FOR PERMANENT DEAD LOAD DESIGN EXCEPT THAT IT SHALL BE THE 75-YEAR MODULUS USED FOR DESIGN.
- CHAMBERS AND END CAPS SHALL BE PRODUCED AT AN ISO 9001 CERTIFIED MANUFACTURING FACILITY. 9

#### **IMPORTANT - NOTES FOR THE BIDDING AND INSTALLATION OF THE SC-310 SYSTEM**

- STORMTECH SC-310 CHAMBERS SHALL NOT BE INSTALLED UNTIL THE MANUFACTURER'S REPRESENTATIVE HAS COMPLETED A 1 PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING WITH THE INSTALLERS.
- STORMTECH SC-310 CHAMBERS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STORMTECH SC-310/SC-740/DC-780 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE". 2.
- 3 CHAMBERS ARE NOT TO BE BACKFILLED WITH A DOZER OR AN EXCAVATOR SITUATED OVER THE CHAMBERS. STORMTECH RECOMMENDS 3 BACKFILL METHODS:
  - STONESHOOTER LOCATED OFF THE CHAMBER BED.
  - BACKFILL AS ROWS ARE BUILT USING AN EXCAVATOR ON THE FOUNDATION STONE OR SUBGRADE.
  - BACKFILL FROM OUTSIDE THE EXCAVATION USING A LONG BOOM HOE OR EXCAVATOR.
- THE FOUNDATION STONE SHALL BE LEVELED AND COMPACTED PRIOR TO PLACING CHAMBERS. 4.
- JOINTS BETWEEN CHAMBERS SHALL BE PROPERLY SEATED PRIOR TO PLACING STONE. 5.
- MAINTAIN MINIMUM 150 mm (6") SPACING BETWEEN THE CHAMBER ROWS. 6.
- EMBEDMENT STONE SURROUNDING CHAMBERS MUST BE A CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE 20-50 mm (3/4-2"). 7.
- 8 THE CONTRACTOR MUST REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES WITH CHAMBER FOUNDATION MATERIALS BEARING CAPACITIES TO THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER.
- ADS RECOMMENDS THE USE OF "FLEXSTORM CATCH IT" INSERTS DURING CONSTRUCTION FOR ALL INLETS TO PROTECT THE SUBSURFACE 9. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FROM CONSTRUCTION SITE RUNOFF.

#### NOTES FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT

- 1.
- 2. THE USE OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT OVER SC-310 & SC-740 CHAMBERS IS LIMITED:
  - NO EQUIPMENT IS ALLOWED ON BARE CHAMBERS.
  - WITH THE "STORMTECH SC-310/SC-740/DC-780 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".

#### USE OF A DOZER TO PUSH EMBEDMENT STONE BETWEEN THE ROWS OF CHAMBERS MAY CAUSE DAMAGE TO THE CHAMBERS AND IS NOT AN ACCEPTABLE BACKFILL METHOD. ANY CHAMBERS DAMAGED BY THE "DUMP AND PUSH" METHOD ARE NOT COVERED UNDER THE STORMTECH STANDARD WARRANTY.

CONTACT STORMTECH AT 1-888-892-2694 WITH ANY QUESTIONS ON INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS OR WEIGHT LIMITS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT.





STORMTECH SC-310 CHAMBERS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STORMTECH SC-310/SC-740/DC-780 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".

NO RUBBER TIRED LOADERS, DUMP TRUCKS, OR EXCAVATORS ARE ALLOWED UNTIL PROPER FILL DEPTHS ARE REACHED IN ACCORDANCE

WEIGHT LIMITS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT CAN BE FOUND IN THE "STORMTECH SC-310/SC-740/DC-780 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".

3. FULL 900 mm (36") OF STABILIZED COVER MATERIALS OVER THE CHAMBERS IS REQUIRED FOR DUMP TRUCK TRAVEL OR DUMPING.

	PROPOSED LAYOUT	CONCEPTUAL ELEVATIONS:				
18	STORMTECH SC-310 CHAMBERS	MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (TOP OF PAVEMENT/UNPAVED):	2.997	PART TYPE		DESCRIPTION
4	STORMTECH SC-310 END CAPS	MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (UNPAVED WITH TRAFFIC):	1.168		LATOUT	300 mm BOTTOM PREFABRICATED EZ END CAP. PART#: SC310E0
152	STONE ABOVE (mm)	MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (UNPAVED NO TRAFFIC):	1.016	PREFABRICATED EZ END CAP	A	BOTTOM CONNECTIONS AND ISOLATOR PLUS ROWS
40	STONE VOID	MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (FOR OF RIGHD CONCILE PAVEMENT).	1.016	FLAMP	В	INSTALL FLAMP ON 300 mm ACCESS PIPE / PART#: SC31012RAMI
	INSTALLED SYSTEM VOLUME (m <sup>3</sup> )	TOP OF STONE:	0.711		C	200 mm x 200 mm TOP MANIFOLD, MOLDED FITTINGS
19.7			0.559	PLUS ROW)	D	750 mm DIAMETER (610 mm SUMP MIN)
	(BASE STONE INCLUDED)	300 mm ISOLATOR ROW PLUS INVERT:	0.24			
53.5	SYSTEM AREA (m <sup>2</sup> )	BOTTOM OF SC-310 CHAMBER:	0.152			
48.0	SYSTEM PERIMETER (m)	BOTTOM OF STONE	0.000	T Contraction of the second seco		





PLACE MINIMUM 3.810 m OF ADSPLUS125 WOVEN GEOTEXTILE OVER BEDDING STONE AND UNDERNEATH CHAMBER FEET FOR SCOUR PROTECTION AT ALL CHAMBER INLET ROWS

MOTES
 MANIFOLD SIZE TO BE DETERMINED BY SITE DESIGN ENGINEER. SEE TECH NOTE #6.32 FOR MANIFOLD SIZING GUIDANCE.
 DUE TO THE ADAPTATION OF THIS CHAMBER SYSTEM TO SPECIFIC SITE AND DESIGN CONSTRAINTS, IT MAY BE NECESSARY TO CUT AND COMPONENTS IN THE FIELD.
 THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER MUST REVIEW ELEVATIONS AND IF NECESSARY ADJUST GRADING TO ENSURE THE CHAMBER COVER REQUENTIANS CHAMBER SYSTEM WAS DESIGNED WITHOUT SITE-SPECIFIC INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS OR BEARING CAPACITY. THE SITE DETERMINING
 THE SUITABILITY OF THE SOIL AND PROVIDING THE BEARING CAPACITY OF THE INSITU SOILS. THE BASE STONE DEPTH MAY BE INCREASED OF PROVIDED.
 MOT FOR CONSTRUCTION: THIS LAYOUT IS FOR DIMENSIONAL PURPOSES ONLY TO PROVE CONCEPT & THE REQUIRED STORAGE

BED LIMITS

*INVERT AB	OVE BAS	E OF CHAMBER				ш
	INVERT*	MAX FLOW				TIMAT
CEZ / TYP OF ALL 300 mm	23 mm				A	THE UL
>	00			SC	Ž	N. IT IS
	89 mm	25 L/s IN		NNN.	CKE	UCTIO
		20 20 10	0 2	DRA	E HS	ONSTR
			Ĕ	Ľ.		R TO CC
				BKIL		3 PRIOF
				Ň	#	ZAWING
					ECT .	THIS DI
				ATF	ROJ	EVIEW
						HALL R
						NEER S S.
					NOI-	4 ENGI
					;RIPT	DESIG
					DESC	E SITE JECT R
						IVE. THI ID PRO,
						ENTATI
					Ϋ́	EPRES
					8	JECT R
					DR	ER PRO
					DATE	R OTHE
						JEER O ALLAP
- [					MC	MEET
80 m					CH.C	DESIGN
- 2 .4					MTE(	E SITE C
_			© E		STOR	OF THE
			<b>N</b>	Ш	ww.	CTION
			e F	òst€		E DIRE
			Ę	ero	-2692	DER TH
			0	amb	8-892	DS UNI
			S	ü	88	ED TO A
						ROVIDE T THF
			.VD 26			TION PI
			N BL 430. 3		00	FORMA
			JEM# ), OH }-747	'		ON IN
			0 TRL JARC 0-735	'	<u> </u>	BASEL
			464( HILL 1-80	1	и Ц	PARED
					∎ A	EN PRE
			X		S S	IAS BEE OF THE
					))	MING H
ND COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO 3	STANDAF	KU MANIFOLD				S DRAV
E DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONS		5	c		т	THI
UK DECKEASED ONCE THIS INFO		15	2		6	
GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED C	IN SITE.		<u>~</u>		U	,

## ACCEPTABLE FILL MATERIALS: STORMTECH SC-310 CHAMBER SYSTEMS

		MATERIAL LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	AASHTO MATERIAL CLASSIFICATIONS	COMF
	D	<b>FINAL FILL:</b> FILL MATERIAL FOR LAYER 'D' STARTS FROM THE TOP OF THE 'C' LAYER TO THE BOTTOM OF FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT OR UNPAVED FINISHED GRADE ABOVE. NOTE THAT PAVEMENT SUBBASE MAY BE PART OF THE 'D' LAYER.	ANY SOIL/ROCK MATERIALS, NATIVE SOILS, OR PER ENGINEER'S PLANS. CHECK PLANS FOR PAVEMENT SUBGRADE REQUIREMENTS.	N/A	PREPA INSTA
	С	INITIAL FILL: FILL MATERIAL FOR LAYER 'C' STARTS FROM THE TOP OF THE EMBEDMENT STONE ('B' LAYER) TO 18" (450 mm) ABOVE THE TOP OF THE CHAMBER. NOTE THAT PAVEMENT SUBBASE MAY BE A PART OF THE 'C' LAYER.	GRANULAR WELL-GRADED SOIL/AGGREGATE MIXTURES, <35% FINES OR PROCESSED AGGREGATE. MOST PAVEMENT SUBBASE MATERIALS CAN BE USED IN LIEU OF THIS LAYER.	AASHTO M145 <sup>1</sup> A-1, A-2-4, A-3 OR AASHTO M43 <sup>1</sup> 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57, 6, 67, 68, 7, 78, 8, 89, 9, 10	BEGIN CC THE CHAM 6" (150 mr WELL GI PROC VEHICLE
	В	EMBEDMENT STONE: FILL SURROUNDING THE CHAMBERS FROM THE FOUNDATION STONE ('A' LAYER) TO THE 'C' LAYER ABOVE.	CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE	AASHTO M43 <sup>1</sup> 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57	
Ī	А	FOUNDATION STONE: FILL BELOW CHAMBERS FROM THE SUBGRADE UP TO THE FOOT (BOTTOM) OF THE CHAMBER.	CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE	AASHTO M43 <sup>1</sup> 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57	PLATE C

PLEASE NOTE:

1. THE LISTED AASHTO DESIGNATIONS ARE FOR GRADATIONS ONLY. THE STONE MUST ALSO BE CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR. FOR EXAMPLE, A SPECIFICATION FOR #4 STONE WOULD STATE: "CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR NO. 4 (AASHTO M43) STONE".

2. STORMTECH COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS ARE MET FOR 'A' LOCATION MATERIALS WHEN PLACED AND COMPACTED IN 6" (150 mm) (MAX) LIFTS USING TWO FULL COVERAGES WITH A VIBRATORY COMPACTOR.

3. WHERE INFILTRATION SURFACES MAY BE COMPROMISED BY COMPACTION, FOR STANDARD DESIGN LOAD CONDITIONS, A FLAT SURFACE MAY BE ACHIEVED BY RAKING OR DRAGGING WITHOUT COMPACTION EQUIPMENT. FOR SPECIAL LOAD DESIGNS, CONTACT STORMTECH FOR COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS.

4. ONCE LAYER 'C' IS PLACED, ANY SOIL/MATERIAL CAN BE PLACED IN LAYER 'D' UP TO THE FINISHED GRADE. MOST PAVEMENT SUBBASE SOILS CAN BE USED TO REPLACE THE MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS OF LAYER 'C' OR 'D' AT THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER'S DISCRETION.



#### NOTES:

- 1. CHAMBERS SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM F2922 (POLETHYLENE) OR ASTM F2418 (POLYPROPYLENE), "STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS".
- 2. SC-310 CHAMBERS SHALL BE DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM F2787 "STANDARD PRACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THERMOPLASTIC CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS".
- 3. THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ASSESSING THE BEARING RESISTANCE (ALLOWABLE BEARING CAPACITY) OF THE SUBGRADE SOILS AND THE DEPTH OF FOUNDATION STONE WITH CONSIDERATION FOR THE RANGE OF EXPECTED SOIL MOISTURE CONDITIONS.
- 4. PERIMETER STONE MUST BE EXTENDED HORIZONTALLY TO THE EXCAVATION WALL FOR BOTH VERTICAL AND SLOPED EXCAVATION WALLS.
- 5. REQUIREMENTS FOR HANDLING AND INSTALLATION:
  - TO MAINTAIN THE WIDTH OF CHAMBERS DURING SHIPPING AND HANDLING, CHAMBERS SHALL HAVE INTEGRAL, INTERLOCKING STACKING LUGS.
  - TO ENSURE A SECURE JOINT DURING INSTALLATION AND BACKFILL, THE HEIGHT OF THE CHAMBER JOINT SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 2".
  - TO ENSURE THE INTEGRITY OF THE ARCH SHAPE DURING INSTALLATION, a) THE ARCH STIFFNESS CONSTANT SHALL BE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 400 LBS/FT/%. THE ASC IS DEFINED IN SECTION
     6.2.8 OF ASTM F2418. AND b) TO RESIST CHAMBER DEFORMATION DURING INSTALLATION AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES (ABOVE 73° F / 23° C), CHAMBERS SHALL BE PRODUCED FROM REFLECTIVE GOLD OR YELLOW COLORS.





#### SC-310 ISOLATOR ROW PLUS DETAIL

NTS

#### **INSPECTION & MAINTENANCE**

#### STEP 1) INSPECT ISOLATOR ROW PLUS FOR SEDIMENT

- A. INSPECTION PORTS (IF PRESENT)
  - A.1. REMOVE/OPEN LID ON NYLOPLAST INLINE DRAIN
  - A.2. REMOVE AND CLEAN FLEXSTORM FILTER IF INSTALLED
  - A.3. USING A FLASHLIGHT AND STADIA ROD, MEASURE DEPTH OF SEDIMENT AND RECORD ON MAINTENANCE LOG
  - A.4. LOWER A CAMERA INTO ISOLATOR ROW PLUS FOR VISUAL INSPECTION OF SEDIMENT LEVELS (OPTIONAL)
  - A.5. IF SEDIMENT IS AT, OR ABOVE, 3" (80 mm) PROCEED TO STEP 2. IF NOT, PROCEED TO STEP 3.
- B. ALL ISOLATOR PLUS ROWS
- B.1. REMOVE COVER FROM STRUCTURE AT UPSTREAM END OF ISOLATOR ROW PLUS
- B.2. USING A FLASHLIGHT, INSPECT DOWN THE ISOLATOR ROW PLUS THROUGH OUTLET PIPE
  - i) MIRRORS ON POLES OR CAMERAS MAY BE USED TO AVOID A CONFINED SPACE ENTRY
     ii) FOLLOW OSHA REGULATIONS FOR CONFINED SPACE ENTRY IF ENTERING MANHOLE
- B.3. IF SEDIMENT IS AT, OR ABOVE, 3" (80 mm) PROCEED TO STEP 2. IF NOT, PROCEED TO STEP 3.
- STEP 2) CLEAN OUT ISOLATOR ROW PLUS USING THE JETVAC PROCESS
  - A. A FIXED CULVERT CLEANING NOZZLE WITH REAR FACING SPREAD OF 45" (1.1 m) OR MORE IS PREFERRED
  - B. APPLY MULTIPLE PASSES OF JETVAC UNTIL BACKFLUSH WATER IS CLEAN
  - C. VACUUM STRUCTURE SUMP AS REQUIRED
- STEP 3) REPLACE ALL COVERS, GRATES, FILTERS, AND LIDS; RECORD OBSERVATIONS AND ACTIONS.
- STEP 4) INSPECT AND CLEAN BASINS AND MANHOLES UPSTREAM OF THE STORMTECH SYSTEM.

#### NOTES

- 1. INSPECT EVERY 6 MONTHS DURING THE FIRST YEAR OF OPERATION. ADJUST THE INSPECTION INTERVAL BASED ON PREVIOUS OBSERVATIONS OF SEDIMENT ACCUMULATION AND HIGH WATER ELEVATIONS.
- 2. CONDUCT JETTING AND VACTORING ANNUALLY OR WHEN INSPECTION SHOWS THAT MAINTENANCE IS NECESSARY.

			OUS FABRIC WITHOUT SEAMS	WOVEN GEOTEXTILE BETWEEN HAMBERS	ORT — SC-310 END CAP	
	4640 TRUEMAN BLVD				C UI	
4	HILLIAKD, OH 43026 1-800-733-7473	StormTech®			0 2	
sн С					UXBRIDGE, ON, CANADA	
DF		Chamber System			DATE: DRAWN: SC	
6		888-892-2694   WWW.STORMTECH.COM	DATE DRW CHK	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT #: CHECKED: N/A	
;	THIS DRAWING HAS BEEN PREPARED BASED ON INFORMATION PROVI RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER TO ENSURE THAT TH	THE TO ADS UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE SITE DESIGN ENGINE HE PRODUCT(S) DEPICTED AND ALL ASSOCIATED DETAILS MEET AL	ER OR OTHER PROJECT REPRESENT L APPLICABLE LAWS, REGULATIONS,	ATIVE. THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER SHAL AND PROJECT REQUIREMENTS.	L REVIEW THIS DRAWING PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. IT IS THE ULTI	ATE
						1







SIZE (W X H X INSTALLED LENGTH)	34.0" X 16.0" X 85.4"
HAMBER STORAGE	14.7 CUBIC FEET
1INIMUM INSTALLED STORAGE*	31.0 CUBIC FEET
VEIGHT	35.0 lbs.

			_
PART #	STUB	A	
SC310EPE06T / SC310EPE06TPC	6" (150 mm)	9.6" (244 mm)	
SC310EPE06B / SC310EPE06BPC	0 (100 mm)	3.0 (244 mm)	
SC310EPE08T / SC310EPE08TPC	8" (200 mm)	11.0" (302 mm)	
SC310EPE08B / SC310EPE08BPC	0 (200 mm)	11.9 (302 1111)	
SC310EPE10T / SC310EPE10TPC	10" (250 mm)	12 7" (323 mm)	
SC310EPE10B / SC310EPE10BPC	- 6" (150 mm) 9.6 - 8" (200 mm) 11.9 - 10" (250 mm) 12.7		
SC310ECEZ*	12" (300 mm)	13.5" (343 mm)	

1-888-892-2694.

\* FOR THE SC310ECEZ THE 12" (300 mm) STUB LIES BELOW THE BOTTOM OF THE END CAP APPROXIMATELY 0.25" (6 mm). BACKFILL MATERIAL SHOULD BE REMOVED FROM BELOW THE N-12 STUB SO THAT THE FITTING SITS LEVEL.

SHEET

5 OF 6

NOTE: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE NOMINAL



#### NOTES

- 1. 8-30" (200-750 mm) GRATES/SOLID COVERS SHALL BE DUCTILE IRON PER ASTM A536 GRADE 70-50-05
- 12-30" (300-750 mm) FRAMES SHALL BE DUCTILE IRON PER ASTM A536 GRADE 70-50-05
   DRAIN BASIN TO BE CUSTOM MANUFACTURED ACCORDING TO PLAN DETAILS
- DRAINAGE CONNECTION STUB JOINT TIGHTNESS SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM D3212 4.
- FOR CORRUGATED HDPE (ADS & HANCOR DUAL WALL) & SDR 35 PVC 5. FOR COMPLETE DESIGN AND PRODUCT INFORMATION: WWW.NYLOPLAST-US.COM
- 6. TO ORDER CALL: 800-821-6710

Α	PART #	GRATE/SOLID COVER OPTIONS						
8" (200 mm)	2808AG	PEDESTRIAN LIGHT DUTY	STANDARD LIGHT DUTY	SOLID LIGHT DUTY				
10" (250 mm)	2810AG	PEDESTRIAN LIGHT DUTY	STANDARD LIGHT DUTY	SOLID LIGHT DUTY				
12"	2812AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID				
(300 mm)		AASHTO H-10	H-20	AASHTO H-20				
15"	2815AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID				
(375 mm)		AASHTO H-10	H-20	AASHTO H-20				
18"	2818AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID				
(450 mm)		AASHTO H-10	H-20	AASHTO H-20				
24"	2824AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID				
(600 mm)		AASHTO H-10	H-20	AASHTO H-20				
30"	2830AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID				
(750 mm)		AASHTO H-20	H-20	AASHTO H-20				

c OF	10 4	UXBRIDGE, ON, CANADA	DRAWN: SC	T #: CHECKED: N/A	S DRAWING PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. IT IS THE ULTIMATE
			DATE:	RIPTION PROJEC	ESIGN ENGINEER SHALL REVIEW THI QUIREMENTS.
				W CHK DESCF	JJECT REPRESENTATIVE. THE SITE DI VS, REGULATIONS, AND PROJECT RE
				AST-US.COM DATE DF	SITE DESIGN ENGINEER OR OTHER PRO
	Nvinniset <sup>®</sup>			770-932-2443   WWW.NYLOPL	DED TO ADS UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE HE PRODUCT(S) DEPICTED AND ALL ASSOCIAT
4640 TRUEMAN BLVD	HILLIARD, OH 43026 1-800-733-7473				I PREPARED BASED ON INFORMATION PROV SITE DESIGN ENGINEER TO ENSURE THAT TI
	6	ян С	EET DF	6	THIS DRAWING HAS BEEN RESPONSIBILITY OF THE S




Project:

SC-310 Roof Infiltration

-

277.05 152 152

mm



Number of chambers -Voids in the stone (porosity) -Base of Stone Elevation -Amount of Stone Above Chambers -Amount of Stone Below Chambers -

Chamber Model -

Units -

39.68 sq.meters 53.53816389 sq.meters Min. Area -

StormTe	ch SC-310 Cu	mulative Sto	orage Volun	nes		
Height of	Incremental Single	Incremental	Incremental	Incremental Ch	Cumulative	
System	Chamber	Total Chamber	Stone	& St	Chamber	Elevation
(mm)	(cubic meters)	(cubic meters)	(cubic meters)	(cubic meters)	(cubic meters)	(meters)
711	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.54	19.752	277.76
686	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.54	19.208	277.74
660	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.54	18.664	277.71
635	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.54	18.120	277.69
610	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.54	17.576	277.66
584	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.54	17.031	277.63
559	0.00	0.03	0.53	0.56	16.487	277.61
533	0.00	0.08	0.51	0.59	15.925	277.58
508	0.01	0.14	0.49	0.63	15.334	277.56
483	0.02	0.28	0.43	0.71	14.708	277.53
457	0.02	0.36	0.40	0.76	13.998	277.51
432	0.02	0.42	0.38	0.80	13.238	277.48
406	0.03	0.47	0.36	0.83	12.442	277.46
381	0.03	0.52	0.34	0.85	11.614	277.43
356	0.03	0.56	0.32	0.88	10.760	277.41
330	0.03	0.59	0.31	0.90	9.881	277.38
305	0.03	0.62	0.30	0.92	8.983	277.35
279	0.04	0.65	0.28	0.93	8.067	277.33
254	0.04	0.68	0.27	0.95	7.133	277.30
229	0.04	0.70	0.27	0.96	6.184	277.28
203	0.04	0.72	0.26	0.97	5.222	277.25
178	0.04	0.73	0.25	0.98	4.248	277.23
152	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.54	3.265	277.20
127	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.54	2.720	277.18
102	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.54	2.176	277.15
76	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.54	1.632	277.13
51	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.54	1.088	277.10
25	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.54	0.544	277.08

### **PROJECT INFORMATION**

ENGINEERED PRODUCT MANAGER	
ADS SALES REP	
PROJECT NO.	



# TORONTO ST. 2023 UXBRIDGE, ON, CANADA

## MC-3500 STORMTECH CHAMBER SPECIFICATIONS

- CHAMBERS SHALL BE STORMTECH MC-3500. 1.
- CHAMBERS SHALL BE ARCH-SHAPED AND SHALL BE MANUFACTURED FROM VIRGIN, IMPACT-MODIFIED POLYPROPYLENE 2. COPOLYMERS.
- CHAMBERS SHALL BE CERTIFIED TO CSA B184, "POLYMERIC SUB-SURFACE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STRUCTURES", AND MEET 3. THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM F2418, "STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR POLYPROPYLENE (PP) CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS" CHAMBER CLASSIFICATION 45x76 DESIGNATION SS.
- CHAMBER ROWS SHALL PROVIDE CONTINUOUS, UNOBSTRUCTED INTERNAL SPACE WITH NO INTERNAL SUPPORTS THAT WOULD Δ IMPEDE FLOW OR LIMIT ACCESS FOR INSPECTION.
- THE STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THE CHAMBERS, THE STRUCTURAL BACKFILL, AND THE INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS SHALL ENSURE THAT THE LOAD FACTORS SPECIFIED IN THE AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS. SECTION 12.12, ARE MET FOR: 1) LONG-DURATION DEAD LOADS AND 2) SHORT-DURATION LIVE LOADS, BASED ON THE CSA S6 CL-625 TRUCK AND THE AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK WITH CONSIDERATION FOR IMPACT AND MULTIPLE VEHICLE PRESENCES.
- CHAMBERS SHALL BE DESIGNED, TESTED AND ALLOWABLE LOAD CONFIGURATIONS DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM F2787, 6 "STANDARD PRACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THERMOPLASTIC CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS". LOAD CONFIGURATIONS SHALL INCLUDE: 1) INSTANTANEOUS (<1 MIN) AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK LIVE LOAD ON MINIMUM COVER 2) MAXIMUM PERMANENT (75-YR) COVER LOAD AND 3) ALLOWABLE COVER WITH PARKED (1-WEEK) AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK.

#### REQUIREMENTS FOR HANDLING AND INSTALLATION: 7

- TO MAINTAIN THE WIDTH OF CHAMBERS DURING SHIPPING AND HANDLING, CHAMBERS SHALL HAVE INTEGRAL, INTERLOCKING STACKING LUGS.
- TO ENSURE A SECURE JOINT DURING INSTALLATION AND BACKFILL, THE HEIGHT OF THE CHAMBER JOINT SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 75 mm (3")
- TO ENSURE THE INTEGRITY OF THE ARCH SHAPE DURING INSTALLATION. a) THE ARCH STIFFNESS CONSTANT AS DEFINED IN SECTION 6.2.8 OF ASTM F2418 SHALL BE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 450 LBS/FT/%. AND b) TO RESIST CHAMBER DEFORMATION DURING INSTALLATION AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES (ABOVE 23° C / 73° F), CHAMBERS SHALL BE PRODUCED FROM REFLECTIVE GOLD OR YELLOW COLORS.
- ONLY CHAMBERS THAT ARE APPROVED BY THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER WILL BE ALLOWED. UPON REQUEST BY THE SITE DESIGN 8. ENGINEER OR OWNER. THE CHAMBER MANUFACTURER SHALL SUBMIT A STRUCTURAL EVALUATION FOR APPROVAL BEFORE DELIVERING CHAMBERS TO THE PROJECT SITE AS FOLLOWS:
  - THE STRUCTURAL EVALUATION SHALL BE SEALED BY A REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER.
  - THE STRUCTURAL EVALUATION SHALL DEMONSTRATE THAT THE SAFETY FACTORS ARE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 1.95 FOR DEAD LOAD AND 1.75 FOR LIVE LOAD, THE MINIMUM REQUIRED BY ASTM F2787 AND BY SECTIONS 3 AND 12.12 OF THE AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS FOR THERMOPLASTIC PIPE.
  - THE TEST DERIVED CREEP MODULUS AS SPECIFIED IN ASTM F2418 SHALL BE USED FOR PERMANENT DEAD LOAD DESIGN EXCEPT THAT IT SHALL BE THE 75-YEAR MODULUS USED FOR DESIGN.
- CHAMBERS AND END CAPS SHALL BE PRODUCED AT AN ISO 9001 CERTIFIED MANUFACTURING FACILITY. 9

### **IMPORTANT - NOTES FOR THE BIDDING AND INSTALLATION OF MC-3500 CHAMBER SYSTEM**

- STORMTECH MC-3500 CHAMBERS SHALL NOT BE INSTALLED UNTIL THE MANUFACTURER'S REPRESENTATIVE HAS COMPLETED A PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING WITH THE INSTALLERS.
- STORMTECH MC-3500 CHAMBERS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STORMTECH MC-3500/MC-4500 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE". 2.
- 3. CHAMBERS ARE NOT TO BE BACKFILLED WITH A DOZER OR AN EXCAVATOR SITUATED OVER THE CHAMBERS. STORMTECH RECOMMENDS 3 BACKFILL METHODS:
  - STONESHOOTER LOCATED OFF THE CHAMBER BED.
  - BACKFILL AS ROWS ARE BUILT USING AN EXCAVATOR ON THE FOUNDATION STONE OR SUBGRADE.
  - BACKFILL FROM OUTSIDE THE EXCAVATION USING A LONG BOOM HOE OR EXCAVATOR.
- THE FOUNDATION STONE SHALL BE LEVELED AND COMPACTED PRIOR TO PLACING CHAMBERS. 4.
- JOINTS BETWEEN CHAMBERS SHALL BE PROPERLY SEATED PRIOR TO PLACING STONE. 5.
- MAINTAIN MINIMUM 150 mm (6") SPACING BETWEEN THE CHAMBER ROWS. 6.
- INLET AND OUTLET MANIFOLDS MUST BE INSERTED A MINIMUM OF 300 mm (12") INTO CHAMBER END CAPS. 7
- EMBEDMENT STONE SURROUNDING CHAMBERS MUST BE A CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE WELL GRADED BETWEEN 3/4" AND 2" (20-50 mm). 8.
- 9. STONE MUST BE PLACED ON THE TOP CENTER OF THE CHAMBER TO ANCHOR THE CHAMBERS IN PLACE AND PRESERVE ROW SPACING.
- 10. THE CONTRACTOR MUST REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES WITH CHAMBER FOUNDATION MATERIALS BEARING CAPACITIES TO THE SITE DESIGN FNGINFFR
- ADS RECOMMENDS THE USE OF "FLEXSTORM CATCH IT" INSERTS DURING CONSTRUCTION FOR ALL INLETS TO PROTECT THE SUBSURFACE 11. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FROM CONSTRUCTION SITE RUNOFF.

#### NOTES FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT

- STORMTECH MC-3500 CHAMBERS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STORMTECH MC-3500/MC-4500 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE". 1
- THE USE OF EQUIPMENT OVER MC-3500 CHAMBERS IS LIMITED: 2
  - NO EQUIPMENT IS ALLOWED ON BARE CHAMBERS.
  - NO RUBBER TIRED LOADER, DUMP TRUCK, OR EXCAVATORS ARE ALLOWED UNTIL PROPER FILL DEPTHS ARE REACHED IN ACCORDANCE . WITH THE "STORMTECH MC-3500/MC-4500 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE"
  - WEIGHT LIMITS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT CAN BE FOUND IN THE "STORMTECH MC-3500/MC-4500 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".
- 3. FULL 900 mm (36") OF STABILIZED COVER MATERIALS OVER THE CHAMBERS IS REQUIRED FOR DUMP TRUCK TRAVEL OR DUMPING.

#### USE OF A DOZER TO PUSH EMBEDMENT STONE BETWEEN THE ROWS OF CHAMBERS MAY CAUSE DAMAGE TO CHAMBERS AND IS NOT AN ACCEPTABLE BACKFILL METHOD. ANY CHAMBERS DAMAGED BY USING THE "DUMP AND PUSH" METHOD ARE NOT COVERED UNDER THE STORMTECH STANDARD WARRANTY

CONTACT STORMTECH AT 1-888-892-2694 WITH ANY QUESTIONS ON INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS OR WEIGHT LIMITS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT.





	PROPOSED   AYOUT	PROPOSED ELEVATIONS:				
20	STORMTECH MC-3500 CHAMBERS	MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (TOP OF PAVEMENT/UNPAVED):	279.810	PART TYPE	ITEM ON	DESCRIPTION
4 305	STORMTECH MC-3500 END CAPS STONE ABOVE (mm)	MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (UNPAVED WITH TRAFFIC): MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (UNPAVED NO TRAFFIC):	277.981	PREFABRICATED END CAP	A	600 mm BOTTOM CORED END CAP, PART#: MC3500IEPP24BC / TY
229 40	STONE BELOW (mm)	MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (TOP OF RIGID CONCRETE PAVEMENT): MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (BASE OF FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT):	277.829	PREFABRICATED END CAP	В	300 mm TOP CORED END CAP, PART#: MC3500IEPP12T / TYP OF
	INSTALLED SYSTEM VOLUME (m <sup>3</sup> )	TOP OF STONE:	277.676	PREFABRICATED END CAP	С	300 mm BOTTOM CORED END CAP, PART#: MC3500IEPP12B / TYP CONNECTIONS
119.1	(COVER STONE INCLUDED)	300 mm x 300 mm TOP MANIFOLD INVERT:	276.898	FLAMP	D	INSTALL FLAMP ON 600 mm ACCESS PIPE / PART#: MCFLAMP
120.4	(BASE STONE INCLUDED) SYSTEM AREA (m <sup>°</sup> )	600 mm ISOLATOR ROW PLUS INVERT: 300 mm BOTTOM CONNECTION INVERT	276.281	MANIFOLD NYLOPLAST (INLET W/ ISO	E	300 mm x 300 mm TOP MANIFOLD, ADS N-12
59.3	SYSTEM PERIMETÉR (m)	BOTTOM OF MC-3500 CHAMBER:	276.229	PLUS ROW)	F	750 mm DIAMETER (610 mm SOMP MIN)
264	THERMOPLASTIC LINER (m <sup>-</sup> ) (20% OVERAGE)	UNDERDRAIN INVERT: BOTTOM OF STONE:	276.000	NYLOPLAST (OUTLET)	G H	750 mm DIAMETER (DESIGN BY ENGINEER) 150 mm ADS N-12 DUAL WALL PERFORATED HDPF UNDERDRAIN





PLACE MINIMUM 5.334 m OF ADSPLUS175 WOVEN GEOTEXTILE OVER BEDDING STONE AND UNDERNEATH CHAMBER FEET FOR SCOUR PROTECTION AT ALL CHAMBER INLET ROWS

THERMOPLASTIC LINER (SEE TECH NOTE #6.50 PROVIDED BY OTHERS / DESIGN BY OTHERS)

NOTES
 MANIFOLD SIZE TO BE DETERMINED BY SITE DESIGN ENGINEER. SEE TECH NOTE #6.32 FOR MANIFOLD SIZING GUIDANCE.
 DUE TO THE ADAPTATION OF THIS CHAMBER SYSTEM TO SPECIFIC SITE AND DESIGN CONSTRAINTS, IT MAY BE NECESSARY TO CUT AN COMPONENTS IN THE FIELD.
 THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER MUST REVIEW ELEVATIONS AND IF NECESSARY ADJUST GRADING TO ENSURE THE CHAMBER COVER REQ.
 THIS CHAMBER SYSTEM WAS DESIGNED WITHOUT SITE-SPECIFIC INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS OR BEARING CAPACITY. THE SITE THE SUITABILITY OF THE SOIL AND PROVIDING THE BEARING CAPACITY OF THE INSITU SOILS. THE BASE STONE DEPTH MAY BE INCREASED OF PROVIDED.
 ADS DOES NOT DESIGN OR PROVIDE MEMBRANE LINER SYSTEMS FOR CISTERNS (RAINWATER HARVESTING). TO MINIMIZE THE LEAKAGE LINER

INFR SYSTEM SHOULD BE DESIGNED BY A KNOWLEDGEABLE GEOTEXTILE PROFESSIONAL AND INSTALLED BY A QUALIFIED CONTRACTOR.
 NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION: THIS LAYOUT IS FOR DIMENSIONAL PURPOSES ONLY TO PROVE CONCEPT & THE REQUIRED STORA

INVERT ABOVE BASE OF CHAMBER       INVERT*     MAX FLOW       YP OF ALL 600 mm BOTTOM     52 mm       ALL 300 mm TOP CONNECTIONS     670 mm       OF ALL 300 mm BOTTOM     34 mm       670 mm     10 L/s IN       57 L/s OUT     10 L/s IN       1     10 L/s IN
YP OF ALL 600 mm BOTTOM 52 mm ALL 300 mm TOP CONNECTIONS 670 mm OF ALL 300 mm BOTTOM 34 mm 670 mm 670 mm 57 L/s OUT 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
52 mm     S2 mm       ALL 300 mm TOP CONNECTIONS     670 mm       OF ALL 300 mm BOTTOM     34 mm       670 mm     0       70 L/s IN     1       57 L/s OUT     1       1     1
ALL 300 IIIII IOP CONNECTIONS 670 mm OF ALL 300 mm BOTTOM 34 mm 670 mm 670 mm 70 L/s IN 57 L/s OUT I I I I I I I I I I I I I
100 DATE:
670 mm     V <td< td=""></td<>
TO L/s IN 57 L/s OUT CRB DD L DATE CI # I DATE CI # I I DATE CI # I I DATE CI # I I I DATE CI # I I DATE I I I DATE CI # I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
TO PROJECT #:
NO
StormTech® Chamber System 888-892-2694 1 WWW.STORMTECH.COM
ND COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD QUIREMENTS ARE MET. TE DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR DETERMINING OR DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS
GE POTENTIAL OF LINER SYSTEMS, THE MEMBRANE SHEET
AGE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE. 2 OF 6

## ACCEPTABLE FILL MATERIALS: STORMTECH MC-3500 CHAMBER SYSTEMS

	MATERIAL LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	AASHTO MATERIAL CLASSIFICATIONS	COMF
D	<b>FINAL FILL:</b> FILL MATERIAL FOR LAYER 'D' STARTS FROM THE TOP OF THE 'C' LAYER TO THE BOTTOM OF FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT OR UNPAVED FINISHED GRADE ABOVE. NOTE THAT PAVEMENT SUBBASE MAY BE PART OF THE 'D' LAYER	ANY SOIL/ROCK MATERIALS, NATIVE SOILS, OR PER ENGINEER'S PLANS. CHECK PLANS FOR PAVEMENT SUBGRADE REQUIREMENTS.	N/A	PREPA INSTA
С	INITIAL FILL: FILL MATERIAL FOR LAYER 'C' STARTS FROM THE TOP OF THE EMBEDMENT STONE ('B' LAYER) TO 24" (600 mm) ABOVE THE TOP OF THE CHAMBER. NOTE THAT PAVEMENT SUBBASE MAY BE A PART OF THE 'C' LAYER.	GRANULAR WELL-GRADED SOIL/AGGREGATE MIXTURES, <35% FINES OR PROCESSED AGGREGATE. MOST PAVEMENT SUBBASE MATERIALS CAN BE USED IN LIEU OF THIS LAYER.	AASHTO M145 <sup>1</sup> A-1, A-2-4, A-3 OR AASHTO M43 <sup>1</sup> 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57, 6, 67, 68, 7, 78, 8, 89, 9, 10	BEGIN CO THE CHAM 12" (300 m WELL GF
В	EMBEDMENT STONE: FILL SURROUNDING THE CHAMBERS FROM THE FOUNDATION STONE ('A' LAYER) TO THE 'C' LAYER ABOVE.	CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE	AASHTO M43 <sup>1</sup> 3, 4	
А	FOUNDATION STONE: FILL BELOW CHAMBERS FROM THE SUBGRADE UP TO THE FOOT (BOTTOM) OF THE CHAMBER.	CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE	AASHTO M43 <sup>1</sup> 3, 4	PLATE C

PLEASE NOTE:

THE LISTED AASHTO DESIGNATIONS ARE FOR GRADATIONS ONLY. THE STONE MUST ALSO BE CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR. FOR EXAMPLE, A SPECIFICATION FOR #4 STONE WOULD STATE: "CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR NO. 4 (AASHTO M43) STONE".

STORMTECH COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS ARE MET FOR 'A' LOCATION MATERIALS WHEN PLACED AND COMPACTED IN 9" (230 mm) (MAX) LIFTS USING TWO FULL COVERAGES WITH A VIBRATORY COMPACTOR. 2

WHERE INFILTRATION SURFACES MAY BE COMPROMISED BY COMPACTION, FOR STANDARD DESIGN LOAD CONDITIONS, A FLAT SURFACE MAY BE ACHIEVED BY RAKING OR DRAGGING WITHOUT COMPACTION EQUIPMENT. FOR SPECIAL LOAD DESIGNS, CONTACT STORMTECH FOR 3. COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS.

ONCE LAYER 'C' IS PLACED, ANY SOIL/MATERIAL CAN BE PLACED IN LAYER 'D' UP TO THE FINISHED GRADE. MOST PAVEMENT SUBBASE SOILS CAN BE USED TO REPLACE THE MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS OF LAYER 'C' OR 'D' AT THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER'S DISCRETION 4.



## NOTES:

- CHAMBERS SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM F2418, "STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR POLYPROPYLENE (PP) CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS" CHAMBER CLASSIFICATION 45x76 1. DESIGNATION SS.
- 2. MC-3500 CHAMBERS SHALL BE DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM F2787 "STANDARD PRACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THERMOPLASTIC CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS"
- THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ASSESSING THE BEARING RESISTANCE (ALLOWABLE BEARING CAPACITY) OF THE SUBGRADE SOILS AND THE DEPTH OF FOUNDATION STONE WITH CONSIDERATION 3 FOR THE RANGE OF EXPECTED SOIL MOISTURE CONDITIONS
- 4. PERIMETER STONE MUST BE EXTENDED HORIZONTALLY TO THE EXCAVATION WALL FOR BOTH VERTICAL AND SLOPED EXCAVATION WALLS.
- 5. REQUIREMENTS FOR HANDLING AND INSTALLATION:
  - TO MAINTAIN THE WIDTH OF CHAMBERS DURING SHIPPING AND HANDLING, CHAMBERS SHALL HAVE INTEGRAL, INTERLOCKING STACKING LUGS.
  - TO ENSURE A SECURE JOINT DURING INSTALLATION AND BACKFILL, THE HEIGHT OF THE CHAMBER JOINT SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 3".
  - TO ENSURE THE INTEGRITY OF THE ARCH SHAPE DURING INSTALLATION, a) THE ARCH STIFFNESS CONSTANT SHALL BE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 450 LBS/FT/%. THE ASC IS DEFINED IN SECTION 6.2.8 OF ASTM F2418. AND b) TO RESIST CHAMBER DEFORMATION DURING INSTALLATION AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES (ABOVE 73° F / 23° C), CHAMBERS SHALL BE PRODUCED FROM REFLECTIVE GOLD OR YELLOW COLORS.





### **MC-3500 ISOLATOR ROW PLUS DETAIL**

NTS

#### **INSPECTION & MAINTENANCE**

#### STEP 1) INSPECT ISOLATOR ROW PLUS FOR SEDIMENT

- A. INSPECTION PORTS (IF PRESENT)
  - A.1. REMOVE/OPEN LID ON NYLOPLAST INLINE DRAIN
  - REMOVE AND CLEAN FLEXSTORM FILTER IF INSTALLED A.2.
  - USING A FLASHLIGHT AND STADIA ROD, MEASURE DEPTH OF SEDIMENT AND RECORD ON MAINTENANCE LOG LOWER A CAMERA INTO ISOLATOR ROW PLUS FOR VISUAL INSPECTION OF SEDIMENT LEVELS (OPTIONAL) A.3.
  - A.4.
  - A.5. IF SEDIMENT IS AT, OR ABOVE, 3" (80 mm) PROCEED TO STEP 2, IF NOT, PROCEED TO STEP 3.
- B. ALL ISOLATOR PLUS ROWS
- B.1. REMOVE COVER FROM STRUCTURE AT UPSTREAM END OF ISOLATOR ROW PLUS
- USING A FLASHLIGHT, INSPECT DOWN THE ISOLATOR ROW PLUS THROUGH OUTLET PIPE B.2.
  - i) MIRRORS ON POLES OR CAMERAS MAY BE USED TO AVOID A CONFINED SPACE ENTRY ii) FOLLOW OSHA REGULATIONS FOR CONFINED SPACE ENTRY IF ENTERING MANHOLE
- IF SEDIMENT IS AT, OR ABOVE, 3" (80 mm) PROCEED TO STEP 2. IF NOT, PROCEED TO STEP 3. B.3.
- CLEAN OUT ISOLATOR ROW PLUS USING THE JETVAC PROCESS STEP 2)
  - A. A FIXED CULVERT CLEANING NOZZLE WITH REAR FACING SPREAD OF 45" (1.1 m) OR MORE IS PREFERRED
  - APPLY MULTIPLE PASSES OF JETVAC UNTIL BACKFLUSH WATER IS CLEAN Β.
  - C. VACUUM STRUCTURE SUMP AS REQUIRED
- REPLACE ALL COVERS, GRATES, FILTERS, AND LIDS; RECORD OBSERVATIONS AND ACTIONS. STEP 3)
- STEP 4) INSPECT AND CLEAN BASINS AND MANHOLES UPSTREAM OF THE STORMTECH SYSTEM.

#### NOTES

- INSPECT EVERY 6 MONTHS DURING THE FIRST YEAR OF OPERATION. ADJUST THE INSPECTION INTERVAL BASED ON PREVIOUS 1. OBSERVATIONS OF SEDIMENT ACCUMULATION AND HIGH WATER ELEVATIONS.
- 2. CONDUCT JETTING AND VACTORING ANNUALLY OR WHEN INSPECTION SHOWS THAT MAINTENANCE IS NECESSARY.

							ATE
		23	)	_	~	N/A	IS THE ULTIM
500 END CAP		ST 20		, CANAD/	RAWN: SC	<b>JECKED:</b>	TRUCTION. IT
		NTO :	)	IDGE, ON	D	Ċ	IOR TO CONS
		TORC	, , ,	UXBR		:#:	DRAWING PR
					DATE:	PROJECT	REVIEW THIS
							LEER SHALL I S.
						RIPTION	ESIGN ENGIN QUIREMENTS
						DESCF	THE SITE DI PROJECT RE
							ESENTATIVE TIONS, AND I
IC WITHOUT SEAMS					_	W CHK	JECT REPRE VS, REGULAT
						ATE DR	LICABLE LAV
							NGINEER OF
						FECH.CON	TE DESIGN EI D DETAILS MI
		(	e S			/.STORM	N OF THE SI
				) .	oystem	4   WWV	HE DIRECTIC
			Orm			3-892-269	DEPICI ST(S) DEPICI
			Ċ,	) (		88	VIDED TO AI THE PRODU
		BLVU	0.200				RMATION PRC NSURE THAT
			33-7473				ED ON INFOF SINEER TO EI
	10101		1-800-7;				EPARED BAS DESIGN ENG
		g	g				AS BEEN PR. OF THE SITE
							S DRAWING F PONSIBILITY
				SH	EET		THE
		4	4	С	)F	6	





STUBS AT TOP OF END CAP FOR PART NUMBERS ENDING WITH "T" END CAPS WITH A WELDED CROWN PLATE END WITH "C" END CAPS WITH A PREFABRICATED WELDED STUB END WITH "W"

PART #	STUB	В		
MC3500IEPP06T	6" (150 mm)	33.21" (844 mm)		
MC3500IEPP06B			0.6	
MC3500IEPP08T	9" (200 mm)	31.16" (791 mm)		
MC3500IEPP08B	0 (200 11111)		0.8	
MC3500IEPP10T	10" (250 mm)	29.04" (738 mm)		
MC3500IEPP10B			0.9	
MC3500IEPP12T	12" (200 mm)	26.36" (670 mm)		
MC3500IEPP12B			1.3	
MC3500IEPP15T	15" (275 mm)	23.39" (594 mm)		
MC3500IEPP15B			1.50	
MC3500IEPP18TC		20.03" (509 mm)		
MC3500IEPP10B MC3500IEPP12T MC3500IEPP12B MC3500IEPP15T MC3500IEPP15B MC3500IEPP18TC MC3500IEPP18TW MC3500IEPP18BC MC3500IEPP18BW	18" (450 mm)	20.03 (309 mm)		
			1 7	
MC3500IEPP18BW			1.7	
MC3500IEPP24TC		14 48" (368 mm)		
MC3500IEPP24TW	24" (600 mm)	14.40 (300 mm)		
MC3500IEPP24BC			2.0	
MC3500IEPP24BW			2.0	
MC3500IEPP30BC	30" (750 mm)		2.7	



### NOTES

- 1. 8-30" (200-750 mm) GRATES/SOLID COVERS SHALL BE DUCTILE IRON PER ASTM A536 GRADE 70-50-05
- 12-30" (300-750 mm) FRAMES SHALL BE DUCTILE IRON PER ASTM A536 GRADE 70-50-05
   DRAIN BASIN TO BE CUSTOM MANUFACTURED ACCORDING TO PLAN DETAILS
- DRAINAGE CONNECTION STUB JOINT TIGHTNESS SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM D3212 4.
- FOR CORRUGATED HDPE (ADS & HANCOR DUAL WALL) & SDR 35 PVC 5. FOR COMPLETE DESIGN AND PRODUCT INFORMATION: WWW.NYLOPLAST-US.COM
- 6. TO ORDER CALL: 800-821-6710

Α	PART #	GRATE/SOLID COVER OPTIONS									
8" (200 mm)	2808AG	PEDESTRIAN LIGHT DUTY	STANDARD LIGHT DUTY	SOLID LIGHT DUTY							
10" (250 mm)	2810AG	2810AG PEDESTRIAN LIGHT STANDARD LIGHT DUTY DUTY									
12"	2812AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID							
(300 mm)		AASHTO H-10	H-20	AASHTO H-20							
15"	2815AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID							
(375 mm)		AASHTO H-10	H-20	AASHTO H-20							
18"	2818AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID							
(450 mm)		AASHTO H-10	H-20	AASHTO H-20							
24"	2824AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID							
(600 mm)		AASHTO H-10	H-20	AASHTO H-20							
30"	2830AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID							
(750 mm)		AASHTO H-20	H-20	AASHTO H-20							

	4640 TRUEMAN BLVD				TORONT	0 ST 2023
6	1-800-733-7473	Nvinnjact <sup>®</sup>				
ы С					UXBRIDGE,	ON, CANADA
)F					DATE:	DRAWN: SC
6	r	770-932-2443   WWW.NYLOPLAST-US.COM	DATE DRW CHK	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT #:	CHECKED: N/A
i	THIS DRAWING HAS BEEN PREPARED BASED ON INFORMATION RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER TO ENSURE TI	ROVIDED TO ADS UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEE AT THE PRODUCT(S) DEPICTED AND ALL ASSOCIATED DETAILS MEET ALL	ER OR OTHER PROJECT REPRESENTA L APPLICABLE LAWS, REGULATIONS, /	TIVE. THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER SHAL AND PROJECT REQUIREMENTS.	L REVIEW THIS DRAWING PRIOR TO C	ONSTRUCTION. IT IS THE ULTIMATE



Project:

Toronto St. 2023

MC-3500 Metric

20

4

40

275.78

m

mm

mm



Chamber Model -Units -Number of Chambers -Number of End Caps -Voids in the stone (porosity) -Base of Stone Elevation -Amount of Stone Above Chambers -Amount of Stone Below Chambers -

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

1.223

1.22

1.22

275.81

120.4153463 sq.meters Min. Area -98.11 sq.meters

StormTech MC-3500 Cumulative Storage Volumes Chamber Single End Cap Chambers Cap Stone and Stone System System Elevation (cubic n . bic meters (cubic mete (cubic meter (m (cubic mete ubic me 1676 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 1.22 277.46 117.86 1651 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 1.22 277.43 0.00 277.41 1626 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 1.22 116.64 1600 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 1.22 115.41 277.38 1575 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 1.22 114.19 277.35 1.22 1549 112.97 277.33 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 1524 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 1.22 111.75 277.30 0.00 1499 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1 223 1.22 110 52 277.28 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.22 109.30 1473 0.00 1.223 277.25 1448 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 1.22 108.08 277.23 1422 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 1.22 1.22 106.85 277.20 277.18 1397 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 105.63 1.24 104.41 277.15 1372 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.00 1.210 1346 0.01 0.00 0.11 0.00 1.178 1.29 103.17 277.13 1321 1.33 277.10 0.01 0.00 0.17 0.00 1.155 101.88 0.23 1.36 100.55 277.08 1295 0.01 0.00 0.01 1.129 1270 0.02 0.00 0.39 0.01 1.064 1.46 99.19 277.05 1245 0.00 0.58 0.986 1.58 97.73 277.02 0.03 0.01 1219 0.04 0.00 0.71 0.01 0.935 1.65 96.15 277.00 1194 0.04 0.00 0.81 0.01 0.895 1.71 94.49 276.97 1168 0.04 0.00 0.89 0.02 0.860 1 77 92 78 276 95 1143 0.05 0.00 0.97 0.02 0.829 1.81 91.01 276.92 1118 0.05 0.01 1.04 0.02 0.800 1.86 89.20 276.90 1092 0.05 0.06 0.01 1.10 1.16 0.02 0.775 0.751 1.89 1.93 87.34 276.87 276.85 0.02 85.45 1067 0.01 1041 0.06 0.01 1.21 0.03 0.729 1.96 83.51 276.82 1016 0.06 0.01 1.26 0.03 0.708 2.00 81.55 276.80 0.07 0.01 1.31 0.03 0.688 2.02 79.55 276.77 991 965 0.07 0.01 1.35 0.03 0.670 2.05 77.53 276.75 940 0.07 0.01 1.39 0.03 0.652 2.08 75.48 276.72 914 0.07 0.01 1.43 0.03 0.636 2.10 73.40 276.69 0.07 1.47 0.04 71.30 889 0.01 0.621 2.13 276.67 0.08 0.08 69.17 67.02 864 0.01 1.50 0.04 0 606 2.15 276.64 0.04 838 1.54 0.592 276.62 0.01 2.17 0.08 0.01 1.57 0.04 0.579 2.19 64.85 276.59 813 0.04 0.04 0.566 0.554 787 0.08 0.01 1.60 2.21 62.66 276.57 0.08 0.01 1.63 2.23 60.46 276.54 762 737 0.08 0.01 1.66 0.04 0.542 2.24 58.23 276.52 711 0.08 0.01 1.68 0.05 0.532 2.26 55.99 276.49 686 0.09 0.01 1.71 0.05 0.521 2.27 53.73 276.47 0.09 1.73 2.29 660 0.01 0.05 0.512 51.45 276.44 635 0.09 0.01 1.75 0.05 0.502 2.30 49.16 276.42 0.493 610 0.09 0.01 1.77 0.05 2.32 46.86 276.39 0.09 1.79 0.05 0.485 2.33 44.54 584 0.01 276.36 559 0.09 0.01 1.81 0.05 0.477 2.34 42.21 276.34 533 0.09 0.01 1.83 0.05 0 469 2 35 39.87 276 31 508 0.09 0.01 1.85 0.06 0.462 2.36 37.52 276.29 483 0.09 0.01 1.86 0.06 0.455 2.37 35.15 276.26 457 432 0.09 0.09 0.01 0.01 1.88 1.89 0.06 0.06 32.78 30.39 276.24 276.21 0.448 2.38 0.442 2.39 406 0.10 0.01 1.91 0.06 0.436 2.40 28.00 276.19 381 0.10 0.01 1.92 0.06 0.430 2.41 25.60 276.16 356 0.02 0.425 2.42 1.93 0.06 23.18 276.14 0.10 0.420 2.43 276.11 330 0.10 0.02 1.95 0.06 20.76 305 0.10 0.02 1.96 0.06 0.415 2.44 18.34 276.08 279 0.02 0.06 0.410 2.44 15.90 0.10 1.97 276.06 254 0.07 0.10 0.02 1.99 0.402 2.45 13.46 276.03 229 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 1.22 11.01 276.01 203 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 1.22 275.98 9.78 178 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 1.22 275.96 8.56 152 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 1.22 7.34 275.93 127 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 1.22 6.11 275.91 102 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 1.22 4.89 275.88 76 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 1.22 3.67 275.86 51 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.223 1.22 2.45 275.83 25

	Project Name Municipality Project No. Date	Penn Avenue Newmarket 19080 24-Nov-23			Pr Cl	epared by: S.C hecked by: Z.S	
INTERNAL LOW POINT	100-Yr-2						
Flow to be Captured, Qcapture=	:	0.130	(m3/s)				
# of Inlets		4	CBs at low point				
Assumption:	<sup>r</sup> Flow is split be	etween the num	ber of openings				
H= Qcapture= Q per inlet=	0.100 0.130 0.033	(m) (m <sup>3</sup> /s) (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Maximum allowable ponding at low total major system flow to be captur flow to be captured by each inlet (a	point (measure red ssumes equal s	ed at gutterline plit between o	e) each)	
Required Inlet Area (no grate loss, no blockage) =	• 0.037	(m²)	area required based on orifice equa	tion:			
CATCHBASIN DIMENSIONS	1			MMCDA 2005 Design Guideline	Manual	STORM DRAINAGE	Section 4.0 Page 12 of 20
Structure References	: Birdcage Grate	e OPSD 400.120	)	Q	$= kCA\sqrt{2gh}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	⇒apacity (m <sup>3</sup> /s) ) for Dobney B-23 grate) tion (9.81 m/s <sup>2</sup> )
Inlet Length= Inlet Width= Inlet Area=	= 600 = 600 = 0.360	(mm) (mm) (m <sup>2</sup> )		SUMMARY:		h = depth of ponding (m)	
% of Inlet Area Lost Due to Grate	à 30.00	%	Inlet Ar	ea Required=	0.037	(m²)	
Inlet Area (Including Loss Due to Grate)=	i = 0.252	(m <sup>2</sup> )	Inlet Ar	ea Provided=	0.126	(m <sup>2</sup> )	
% of Inlet Area Lost Due to Blockage	÷ 50	%	the structure without exc	s greater than t ceeding the ma	ximum pondir	required to accept many oriteria.	ajor system flows into
Inlet Area (Including Loss Due to Blockage)=	i = 0.126	(m²)					
Total Available Inlet Area (Including Losses Due to Grate & Blockage)	) <b>0.126</b>	(m²)					

# Counterpoint Engineering Inc.

# STORM SEWER CALCULATION SHEET (RATIONAL METHOD)

Project: 181 Toronto Street Project No: 21026 Location: Uxbridge 11-24-23 Date:

Rainfall Data: a, b, c Values

	100 - year
а	1799
b	5
c	0.81

0.013 Manning's Roughness Coefficient (All pipes)= Design Return Frequency (years)= 100

1	2	4	7	8	9	10	11		12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
	From	То	Diam.	Length	Slope	Area	С	CA = Cx1.25	A x CA	Accum	Rainfall	Time of	Area Flow	Other	Total	Diameter	Pipe	Hydraulic	Pipe	Pipe	Velocity	Time of	Ratio	Cap.
Location	Node	Node	(nominal)	Pipe	Pipe					AxC	Intensity	Conc. (Tc)	Q	Flows	Flow	Actual	Area	Radius	Mat.	Capacity		Flow	Q/Q full	Check
			(mm)	(m)	(%)	(ha)			(ha)	(ha)	mm/hr	(min)	(I/s)	(l/s)	(I/s)	(m)	(sq.m)	(m)		(l/s)	(m/s)	(min)		
Prop	CBMH02	MH01	450	12.0	0.51%	0.23	0.98	1.23	0.282	0.282	200.6	10.00	157.0	0.0	157.0	0.448	0.16	0.112	PVC	201.2	1.28	0.16	0.78	OK

#### Definitions:

Q = 2.78 AIR, where

Q = Peak Flow in Litres per second (L/s)

Tc= Time of concentration

A = Areas in hectares (ha)

I = Rainfall Intensity (mm/h)

 $I = a / (Td + b)^{c}$  (see above for regression contants) Tc= Time of concentration

C = Runoff Coefficient

A = Areas in hectares (ha)



# **Verification Statement**



## StormTech Isolator® Row PLUS Registration number: (V-2020-10-01) Date of issue: (2020-October-27)

Technology type	Stormwater Filtration Device	
Application	Stormwater filtration technology to remove sediments, nutrients, heavy metals, and organic contaminants from stormwater runoff	
Company	StormTech, LLC.	
Address	520 Cromwell Avenue, Rocky Hill, CT 06067 USA	Phone +1-888-892-2694
Website	www.stormtech.com	
E-mail	info@stormtech.com	

#### Verified Performance Claims

The StormTech Isolator® Row PLUS technology was tested at the Mid-Atlantic Storm Water Research Center (MASWRC), under the supervision of Boggs Environmental Consultants, Inc. The performance test results for two overlapping StormTech Isolator® Row PLUS chambers (commercial unit model SC-740) were verified by Good Harbour Laboratories Inc. (GHL), following the requirements of ISO 14034:2016 and the VerifiGlobal Performance Verification Protocol. Based on the laboratory testing conducted, the verified performance claims are as follows:

**Total Suspended Solids (TSS) Removal Efficiency** - The StormTech Isolator® Row PLUS achieved 82% ± 1% removal efficiency of suspended sediment concentration (SCC) at a 95% confidence level.

**Average Loading Rate** - Based on the reported flow rate data and the effective sedimentation and filtration treatment area of the test unit, the average loading rate of the test unit was  $4.15 \pm 0.03$  GPM/ft<sup>2</sup> at a 95% confidence level.

**Maximum Treatment Flow Rate (MTFR)** - Although the MTFR varies among the StormTech Isolator® Row PLUS model sizes and the number of chambers, the design surface loading rate remains the same (4.13 gpm/ ft<sup>2</sup> of treatment surface area). The test unit consisted of two overlapping StormTech SC-740 chambers with a nominal MTFR of 225 GPM (0.501 CFS) and an effective filtration treatment area (EFTA) of approximately 54.5 ft<sup>2</sup>.

**Detention Time and Volume -** The StormTech Isolator Row PLUS detention time and wet volume varies with model size. The unit tested had a wet volume of approximately 65.1 ft<sup>3</sup> and a detention time of 2.2 minutes.



**Maximum Sediment Storage Depth and Volume -** The sediment storage volume and depth vary according to the StormTech Isolator® Row PLUS model sizes and system configuration. For the two overlapping StormTech SC-740 chambers tested, the maximum sediment storage volume is 2.3 ft<sup>3</sup> at a sediment depth of 0.5 inches.

**Effective Sedimentation/Filtration Treatment Areas -** The Effective Sedimentation Area (ESA) and the Effective Filtration Treatment Area (EFTA) increase as the size of the system increases. For the two overlapping StormTech SC-740 chambers tested, the ESA and the ratio of ESA/EFTA were 54.5 ft<sup>2</sup> and 1.0, respectively.

**Sediment Mass Load Capacity -** The sediment mass load capacity varies according to the StormTech Isolator® Row PLUS model sizes and system configuration. For the two overlapping StormTech SC-740 chambers tested, the mass loading capture was 158.4 lbs  $\pm$  0.8 lbs (2.91  $\pm$ 0.01 lbs/ ft<sup>2</sup>) following a total sediment loading of 195.2 lbs.

#### **Technology Application**

The StormTech "Isolator® Row PLUS" is a stormwater treatment technology designed for use under parking lots, roadways and heavy earth loads while providing a superior and durable structural system. The technology comprises a row of chambers covered in a non-woven geotextile fabric with a single layer of proprietary woven fabric at the bottom that serves as a filter strip, providing surface area for infiltration and runoff reduction with enhanced suspended solids and pollutant removal. The following features make the Isolator® Row PLUS effective as a water quality solution:

- Enhanced infiltration Surface Area
- Runoff Volume Reduction
- Peak Flow Reduction
- Sediment/Pollutant Removal
- Internal Water Storage (IWS)
- Water Temperature Cooling (Thermal Buffer).

#### **Technology Description**

The Isolator® Row PLUS (shown in Figures 1 and 2) is the first row of StormTech chambers that is surrounded with filter fabric and connected to a closely located manhole for easy access. The Isolator® Row PLUS provides for settling and filtration of sediment as stormwater rises in the chamber and ultimately passes through the filter fabric. The open-bottom chambers allow stormwater to flow out of the chambers, while sediment is captured in the Isolator® Row PLUS.



Figure 1: Schematic of the StormTech Isolator® Row PLUS System





Figure 2: Isolator® Row PLUS Detail

A single layer of proprietary Advanced Drainage Systems (ADS) PLUS fabric is placed between the angular base stone and the Isolator Row PLUS chamber. The geotextile provides the means for stormwater filtration and provides a durable surface for maintenance operations. A 6 oz. non-woven fabric is placed over the chambers.

The Isolator® Row PLUS is designed to capture the "first flush" and offers the versatility to be sized on a volume basis or a flow-rate basis. An upstream manhole not only provides access to the Isolator® Row PLUS but includes a high low/concept such that stormwater flow rates or volumes that exceed the capacity of the Isolator® Row PLUS bypass through a manifold to the other chambers. This is achieved with either a high-flow weir or an elevated manifold. This creates a differential between the Isolator® Row PLUS and the manifold, thus allowing for settlement time in the Isolator® Row PLUS. After Stormwater flows through the Isolator® Row PLUS and into the rest of the StormTech chamber system it is either infiltrated into the soils below or passed at a controlled rate through an outlet manifold and outlet control structure.

StormTech developed and owns the Isolator® Row PLUS technology and has filed a number of patent applications relating to the Isolator® Row PLUS system.<sup>1</sup>

#### Description of Test Procedure for the StormTech Isolator® Row PLUS

In January 2020, two overlapping StormTech SC-740 Isolator® Row PLUS commercial size chambers were installed at the Mid-Atlantic Storm Water Research Center (MASWRC, a subsidiary of BaySaver), in Mount Airy, Maryland, to evaluate the performance of the Isolator® Row PLUS system for Total Suspended Solid (TSS) removal (Figure 3) All testing and data collection procedures were supervised by Boggs Environmental Consultants, Inc. (BEC), who was hired by ADS for third party oversight, and were in accordance with the *New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Laboratory Protocol to Assess Total Suspended Solids Removal by a Filtration Manufactured Treatment Device (January 2013)*.

Prior to the start of testing, a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP), revision dated January 09, 2020, was submitted and approved by the New Jersey Corporation for Advanced Technology (NJCAT), c/o Center for Environmental Systems, Stevens Institute of Technology, Castle Point on Hudson, Hoboken, NJ 07030.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> (U.S. Provisional Application No. 62/753,050, filed October 30, 2018; U.S. Non-Provisional Application No. 16/670,628, filed October 31, 2019; International Application No. PCT/US2019/059283, filed October 31, 2019; U.S. Application No. 16/938,482, filed July 24, 2020; U.S. Application No. 16/938,657, filed July 24, 2020; PCT International Application No. PCT/US2020/043543, filed July 24, 2020; PCT International Application No. PCT/US2020/043543, filed July 24, 2020; PCT International Application No. PCT/US2020/043543, filed July 24, 2020; PCT International Application No. PCT/US2020/043543, filed July 24, 2020; PCT International Application No. PCT/US2020/043543, filed July 24, 2020; PCT International Application No. PCT/US2020/043543, filed July 24, 2020; PCT International Application No. PCT/US2020/043543, filed July 24, 2020; PCT International Application No. PCT/US2020/043543, filed July 24, 2020.





Figure 3: StormTech "Isolator® Row PLUS" Test Set-up at MASWRC

#### Verification Results

The verification process for the StormTech Isolator® Row PLUS technology was conducted by GHL in accordance with the VerifiGlobal Verification Plan for the StormTech "Isolator® Row PLUS" Technology – 2020-09-09. The technology performance claims verified by GHL are summarized at the front of this Verification Statement and in Table 6 on Page 8 under the heading "Verification Summary".

Particle size distribution analysis was performed by ECS Mid-Atlantic, LLC of Frederick, MD in accordance with ASTM D422-63(2007). ECS is accredited by the American Association of State Highways and Transportation Officials (AASHTO).

ASTM D422-63(2007) is a sieve and hydrometer method where the larger particles, > 75 microns, are measured using a standard sieve stack while the smaller particles are measured based on their settling time using a hydrometer.

The PSD meets the requirements of NJDEP, which is generally accepted as representative of the type of particle sizes an OGS would be designed to treat. Actual PSD is site and rainfall event specific, so it was necessary to choose a standard PSD to make testing and comparison manageable.

Table 1 shows the NJDEP PSD specification. Table 2 and Figure 4 show the incoming material PSD as determined by ECS Mid-Atlantic and confirmed by the verifier.

Particle Size (µm)	NJDEP Minimum Specification
1000	98
500	93
250	88
150	73
100	58
75	48
50	43
20	33
8	18
5	8
2	3
<b>d</b> 50	< 75 um

#### Table 1: NJDEP PSD Specification

		Sample ID					
Mesh (mm)	US Sieve Size	PSD A	PSD B	PSD C			
			Percent Finer				
9.525	0.375	100.0	100.0	100.0			
4.750	#4	100.0	100.0	100.0			
4.000	#5	100.0	100.0	100.0			
2.360	#8	100.0	100.0	100.0			
2.000	#10	100.0	100.0	100.0			
1.180	#16	100.0	100.0	100.0			
1.000	#18	100.0	100.0	100.0			
0.500	#35	100.0	100.0	100.0			
0.425	#40	93.3	93.0	93.6			
0.250	#60	90.3	89.8	90.2			
0.150	#100	79.3	78.1	78.1			
0.125	#120	73.6	71.7	71.7			
0.106	#140	68.4	65.2	64.8			
0.090	#170	60.2	58.3	57.5			
0.075	#200	52.0	50.9	50.3			
0.053	#270	48.0	48.3	47.8			
0.045		46.6	46.7	46.7			
0.032		42.8	42.9	41.0			
0.021		37.1	37.2	35.3			
0.0125	met	25.7	25.7	25.8			
0.0090	/dro	20.1	20.1	19.2			
0.0064	f	16.3	16.4	14.5			
0.0032		8.8	8.7	7.8			
0.0014		3.8	3.7	3.8			

#### Table 2 – Particle Size Distribution (PSD) of Test Sediment

The suspended sediment concentration analysis was completed by Fredericktowne Labs Inc., Meyersville, MD. Fredericktown Labs is accredited by the Maryland Department of Environment as Maryland Certified Water Quality Laboratory. The analysis procedure was ASTM D3977-97, Suspended Sediment Concentration. The sampling procedure and submission of samples to the test lab were overseen by the independent observer, Boggs Environmental Consultants, Inc.

All test data and calculations were detailed in the report "NJCAT TECHNOLOGY VERIFICATION Isolator® Row PLUS StormTech, LLC", July 2020, which was submitted to and verified by the New Jersey Corporation for Advanced Technology (NJCAT).

erifiGloba





Figure 4– Particle Size Distribution (PSD)

The data in Table 3 (Flow Rate and Temperature) and Table 4 (Removal Efficiency) form the basis for the verified technology performance claim, specifically, flow rate, sediment captured and removal efficiency.

Run	Max Flow (gpm)	Min Flow (gpm)	Average Flow (gpm)	Flow COV	Flow Com- pliance (COV< 0.1)	Maximum Temperature (Fahrenheit)	NJDEP Tem- perature Compliance (< 80 F)
1	232.8	223.9	226.3	0.0078	Y	48.2	Y
2	228.9	218.6	220.8	0.0104	Y	51.5	Y
3	229.4	220.0	227.2	0.0094	Y	44.7	Y
4	230.2	218.7	223.2	0.0138	Y	40.5	Y
5	228.7	216.9	222.2	0.0103	Y	44.7	Y
6	227.6	217.0	224.2	0.0115	Y	46.7	Y
7	229.7	221.9	226.4	0.0092	Y	44.6	Y
8	230.3	222.2	226.8	0.0089	Y	43.5	Y
9	233.2	218.4	225.6	0.0136	Y	45.5	Y
10	232.2	219.7	228.4	0.0126	Y	44.7	Y
11	226.9	219.2	224.1	0.0088	Y	52.4	Y
12	232.2	222.1	226.9	0.0107	Y	48.5	Y
13	234.7	221.2	226.1	0.0109	Y	48.5	Y
14	231.9	223.4	228.7	0.0103	Y	45.6	Y
15	236.8	224.1	231.4	0.0131	Y	52.2	Y
16	232.5	221.3	229.0	0.0137	Y	47.8	Y

# StormTech Isolator® Row PLUS Verification Statement



Run	Average Influent TSS (mg/L)	Influent Water Volume (gal)	Adjusted Average Effluent TSS (mg/L)	Effluent Water Volume (gal)	Adjusted Average Drain Down TSS (mg/L)	Drain Down Water Volume (gal)	Single Run Re- moval Efficiency (%)	Mass of Captured Sediment (g)	Cumulative Removal Efficiency (%)
1	203	7166	46	6881	34	285	77.8	4282	77.8
2	199	6993	32	6639	27	354	84.0	4415	80.8
3	207	7197	37	6793	27	403	82.6	4654	81.4
4	217	7068	33	6635	29	433	84.9	4923	82.3
5	215	7037	39	6593	29	444	82.2	4705	82.3
6	207	7097	40	6643	31	454	81.2	4504	82.1
7	198	7169	37	6693	30	476	81.6	4386	82.0
8	201	7184	37	6716	32	468	81.6	4473	82.0
9	205	7147	38	6675	30	472	81.8	4539	82.0
10	203	7235	38	6759	31	476	81.4	4523	81.9
11	208	7096	38	6624	30	472	81.8	4567	81.9
12	209	7185	41	6709	30	476	80.7	4584	81.8
13	198	7162	41	6680	32	482	79.7	4277	81.6
14	200	7242	43	6757	34	485	78.8	4318	81.4
15	196	7329	41	6842	32	487	79.5	4320	81.3
16	202	7254	44	6769	31	485	78.9	4384	81.2
Avg.	204.2	7160	39	6713	31	447	81.2	4491	N/A
Cumulative Mass Removed (g)						71854	-	-	
		Cumulative I	Mass Remove	ed (lb)			158.4		
	Total Mass Loaded (Ib)						195.2		
Cumulative Removal Efficiency (%)						81.2			

#### Table 4: Removal Efficiency Results

#### **Quality Assurance**

Performance verification of the StormTech Isolator® Row PLUS technology was performed in accordance with the requirements of ISO 14034:2016 and the VerifiGlobal Performance Verification Protocol. This included reviewing all data sheets and calculated values, as well as overall management of the test system, quality control and data integrity.

Additional information on quality control measures taken can be found in section 5 of the QAPP for StormTech Isolator Row New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Testing, Rev. 1/9/2020.

Specific QA/QC measures reviewed by the verifier are summarized in Table 5 below.

QC Parameter	Acceptance Criteria
Independence of observer	Confirmed in letter from Boggs Environmental Consult- ants, Inc. to NJCAT
Consistency of procedure	Daily logs confirm proper procedure
Existence of QAPP	Confirmed. "QAPP For StormTech Isolator Row New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Test- ing", Rev. 1/9/2020)
Use of appropriate sample analysis method – ASTM D3799	Confirmed by method reference on lab reports from Fredericktowne Labs Inc.
Test method appropriate for the technology	Used industry stakeholder approved protocol: New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Laboratory Protocol to Assess Total Suspended Solids

#### Table 5. Validation of QA/QC Procedures



	Removal by a Filtration Manufactured Treatment Device (January 2013)
Test parameters stayed within required limits	Confirmed in report "NJCAT TECHNOLOGY VERIFICATION Isolator® Row PLUS StormTech, LLC", July 2020
Third party verified data	All testing was observed and reviewed by Boggs Envi- ronmental Consultants, Inc.

#### Variance

Performance claims regarding structural load limitations were not verified as they are outside the scope of the performance testing that was conducted in accordance with the 'Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) for StormTech Isolator Row, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Testing', revision dated January 09, 2020.

#### Verification Summary

The StormTech "Isolator® Row PLUS" is a stormwater treatment technology designed for use under parking lots, roadways and heavy earth loads while providing a superior and durable structural system. The technology comprises a row of chambers wrapped in woven geotextile fabric with two layers at the bottom that serve as a filter strip, providing surface area for infiltration and runoff reduction with enhanced suspended solids and pollutant removal.

The StormTech Isolator® Row PLUS technology was tested at the Mid-Atlantic Storm Water Research Center (MASWRC), under the supervision of Boggs Environmental Consultants, Inc. The performance test results for two overlapping StormTech Isolator® Row PLUS chambers (commercial unit model SC-740) were verified by Good Harbour Laboratories Inc. (GHL), following the requirements of ISO 14034:2016 and the VerifiGlobal Performance Verification Protocol. Table 6 summarizes the verification results in relation to the technology performance parameters that were identified in the Verification Plan to determine the efficacy of the StormTech Isolator® Row PLUS technology.

Parameters	Verified Claims	Accuracy
Total Suspended Solids (TSS) Removal Efficiency	Based on the laboratory testing conducted, the StormTech Isolator® Row PLUS achieved an average 82% removal efficiency of SSC	± 1% (95% confidence level)
Average Loading Rate	Based on the laboratory testing parameters, the StormTech Isolator <sup>®</sup> Row PLUS maintained a loading rate of 4.15 GPM/sf	±0.03 GPM/sf (95% confidence level)
Maximum Treatment Flow Rate (MTFR)	Although the MTFR varies among the StormTech Isolator <sup>®</sup> Row PLUS model sizes and the number of chambers, the design surface loading rate remains the same (4.13 GPM/ft <sup>2</sup> of treatment surface area). The test unit consisted of two overlapping StormTech SC-740 chambers with a nominal MTFR of 225 GPM (0.501 CFS) and an effective filtration treatment area (EFTA) of approximately 54.5 ft <sup>2</sup> .	± 1.4 GPM (95% con- fidence level)
Detention Time and Volume	Detention time and wet volume varies with model size. The unit tested had a wet vol-	N/A

Table 6	- Summary of	Verification Results	Against F	Performance	Parameters
1 4 6 1 6		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/ .gaot .	onionianoo	



	physical measurement) and a detention time of 2.2 minutes.	
Maximum Sediment Storage Depth and Volume	The sediment storage volume and depth vary according to the StormTech Isolator <sup>®</sup> Row PLUS model sizes and system config- uration. For the two overlapping StormTech SC-740 chambers tested, the maximum sediment storage volume is 2.3 ft <sup>3</sup> at a sed- iment depth of 0.5 inches.	N/A
Effective Sedimenta- tion/ Filtration Treat- ment Area	The effective sedimentation and filtration treatment area increases as the size of the chamber increases. Under the tested conditions using 2 overlapping chambers, the treatment area was 54.5 ft <sup>2</sup>	The sedimentation /filtration area was determined from the actual physical dimen- sions of the test unit*
Sediment Mass Load Capacity	The sediment mass load capacity varies according to the StormTech Isolator <sup>®</sup> Row PLUS model sizes and system configura- tion. For the two overlapping StormTech SC-740 chambers tested, the mass loading capture was 158.4 lbs (2.91 lbs/ ft <sup>2</sup> ) follow- ing a total sediment loading of 195.2 lbs	± 0.8 lbs (±0.01 lbs/ft²) (95% confidence lev- el)

\*Note: These numbers are determined based on physical measurement or a dimensional drawing, which is standard practice. Highly accurate measurements are not practical.

In conclusion, the StormTech Isolator® Row PLUS is a viable technology that can be used to remove contaminants from stormwater runoff via filtration. This technology has proven effective at removing suspended sediment from stormwater through in-lab testing using an industry recognized laboratory protocol.

By extension of sediment removal, this technology should also remove particle bound nutrients, heavy metals, and a wide variety of organic contaminants. Performance is a function of pollutant properties, hydraulic retention time, filter media, pre-treatment, and flow rate, such that proper design of the system is critical to achieving the desired results.

#### What is ISO 14034?

The purpose of environmental technology verification is to provide a credible and impartial account of the performance of environmental technologies. Environmental technology verification is based on a number of principles to ensure that verifications are performed and reported accurately, clearly, unambiguously and objectively. The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) standard for environmental technology verification (ETV) is ISO 14034, which was published in November 2016.



### Benefits of ETV

ETV contributes to protection and conservation of the environment by promoting and facilitating market uptake of innovative environmental technologies, especially those that perform better than relevant alternatives. ETV is particularly applicable to those environmental technologies whose innovative features or performance cannot be fully assessed using existing standards. Through the provision of objective evidence, ETV provides an independent and impartial confirmation of the performance of an environmental technologies by supporting informed decision-making among interested parties.

For more information on the StormTech "Isolator® Row PLUS" technology, contact:	For more information on VerifiGlobal, contact:
StormTech, LLC. 520 Cromwell Avenue, Rocky Hill, CT 06067 USA t: +1-888-892-2694 e: info@stormtech.com w: www.stormtech.com	VerifiGlobal c/o ETA-Danmark A/S Göteborg Plads 1, DK-2150 Nordhaven t +45 7224 5900 e: info@verifiglobal.com w: www. verifiglobal.com
Signed for StormTech:	Signed for VerifiGlobal:
Original signed by:	Original signed by:
Greg Spires	Thomas Bruun
Greg Spires, P.E. General Manager	Thomas Bruun, Managing Director
	Original signed by:
	John Neate
	John Neate, Managing Director

**NOTICE:** Verifications are based on an evaluation of technology performance under specific, predetermined operational conditions and parameters and the appropriate quality assurance procedures. VerifiGlobal and the Verification Expert, Good Harbour Laboratories, make no expressed or implied warranties as to the performance of the technology and do not certify that a technology will always operate as verified. The end user is solely responsible for complying with any and all applicable regulatory requirements. Mention of commercial product names does not imply endorsement.

VerifiGlobal and the Verification Expert, Good Harbour Laboratories, provide the verification services solely on the basis of the information supplied by the applicant or vendor and assume no liability thereafter. The responsibility for the information supplied remains solely with the applicant or vendor and the liability for the purchase, installation, and operation (whether consequential or otherwise) is not transferred to any other party as a result of the verification.



scorley@counterpointeng.com

Technical Specification				
Control Point	Head (m)	Flow (l/s)		
Primary Design	1.000	5.400		
Flush-Flo	0.099	1.962		
Kick-Flo®	0.108	1.955		
Mean Flow		3.668		





hydro-int.com/patents



Head (m)	Flow (l/s)
0.000	0.000
0.034	0.539
0.069	1.523
0.103	1.960
0.138	2.176
0.172	2.406
0.207	2.613
0.241	2.802
0.276	2.977
0.310	3.142
0.345	3.297
0.379	3.444
0.414	3.585
0.448	3.720
0.483	3.849
0.517	3.973
0.552	4.094
0.586	4.210
0.621	4.323
0.655	4.433
0.690	4.540
0.724	4.644
0.759	4.746
0.793	4.845
0.828	4.942
0.862	5.037
0.897	5.130
0.931	5.221
0.966	5.311
1.000	5.399

DESIGN ADVICE	The head/flow characteristics of this SCU-0072-5400-1000-5400 Hydro-Brake Optimum® Flow Control are unique. Dynamic hydraulic modeling evaluates the full head/flow characteristic curve.	Hydro <b>&gt;</b>
!	The use of any other flow control will invalidate any design based on this data and could constitute a flood risk.	
DATE	11/24/2023 1:58 PM	SCI 1 0072 5400 1000 5400
Site	Toronto Street	300-0072-3400-1000-3400
DESIGNER	scott corley	Hydro Brako Ontimum®
Ref	21026	

© 2018 Hydro International, 94 Hutchins Dr, Portland, ME 04102, USA. Tel: +1 (207) 756 6200 Fax: +1 (207) 756 6212 Web: hydro-int.com Email: designtools@hydro-int.com

#### Summary of Inputs for Water Balance Calculation

Precipitation (mm/yr)	831	Based on Uxbridge Brook Subwatershed Data	
Evapotranspiration (mm/yr)	560	Based on Uxbridge Brook Subwatershed Data	
Topography Infiltration Factor	0.20	Pre-development site (Grass)	
Soil Infiltration Factor	0.40	Pre-development site (Grass)	
Land Cover Infiltration Factor	0.10	Pre-development site (Grass)	
MOE Infiltration Factor	0.70	Pre-development site (Grass)	
Topography Infiltration Factor	0.20	Post- Development	
Soil Infiltration Factor	0.30	Post- Development	*Adjusted for compaction
Land Cover Infiltration Factor	0.10	Post- Development	
MOE Infiltration Factor	0.60	Post- Development	

Soil Class	Total Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	Percent of Study Area (%)	Precipitation (mm/yr)	Evapotranspiration (mm/yr)	Groundwater Recharge (mm/yr)	Groundwater Discharge (mm/yr)
Gravel	168	21	899	546	370	5
Sand	238	30	889	561	351	249
Silt/Till	241	30	899	523	181	26
Clay	151	19	892	576	30	241
Study Area	797	100	895	549	243	129

Table extracted from chapter 5 of "City of Barrie Tier Three Recharge Estimations Using Mike SHE, Technical Memorandum" Prepared for Lake Simcoe Conservation Authority, by AquaResource, June 2012.

Table 2: Infiltration Factors				
. 1	Description of Area/Development Site	Value of Infiltration Factor		
TOPO	GRAPHY			
	Flat land, average slope not exceeding 0.6 m per km	0.30		
•	Rolling land, average slope of 2.8 m to 3.8 m per km	0.20		
•	Hilly land, average slope of 28 m to 47 m per km	0.10		
SOIL				
	Tight impervious clay	0.10		
:	Medium combinations of clay and loam Open sandy loam	0.20		
CONT	ь -	0.4		
EUVE	Cultivated lands			
•	Woodland	0.1 0.2		

Table extracted from chapter 4 of "MOEE Hydrogeological technical Information requirements for Land Development Applications" MOE, April 1995.

### SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS Water Balance/ Water Budget Assessment

Project Name: 181 Toronto Street South Municipality: Township of Uxbridge Project No.: 21026 Date: 16-Nov-23

Last Revised: 16-Nov-23

	Site				
Catchment Designation	Pre-	Post-	Change	Post- Development	Change
Catchment Designation	Development	Development	(Pre- to Post-)	with Mitigation	(Pre- to Post- with
					Mitigation)
		Inputs (Volumes)			
Precipitation (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	2,493	2,493	0.0%	2,493	0.0%
Run-on (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Other Inputs (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total Inputs (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	2,493	2,493	0.0%	2,493	0.0%
	C	Outputs (Volumes)			
Precipitation Surplus (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	813	1,684	107.1%	1,684	107.1%
Evapotranspiration (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	1,680	809	-51.8%	809	-51.8%
Infiltration (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	569	163	-71.4%	163	-71.4%
Infiltration Measures (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	0	0	0.0%	918	N/A
Total Infiltration (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	569	163	-71.4%	1,081	89.9%
Runoff Pervious Areas (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	244	108	-55.6%	108	-55.6%
Runoff Impervious Areas (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	0	1,413	N/A	494	N/A
Total Runoff (m³/yr)	244	1,521	523.7%	603	147.2%
Total Outputs (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	2,493	2,493	0.0%	2,493	0.0%

#### SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS Water Budget - Pre-Development

Project Name: 181 Toronto Street South Municipality: Township of Uxbridge Project No.: 21026 Date: 16-Nov-23

Last Revised: 16-Nov-23

	Site Area				
Catchment Designation	Pervious	Impervious	Total		
Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	3,000	0	3,000		
Pervious Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	3,000	0	3,000		
Impervious Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	0	0	0		
Inputs (per Unit Area)					
Precipitation (mm/yr)	831	831	831		
Run-on (mm/yr)	0	0	0		
Other Inputs (mm/yr)	0	0	0		
Outputs (per Unit Area)					
Precipitation Surplus (mm/yr)	271	706	271		
Evapotranspiration (mm/yr)	560	125	560		
Infiltration (mm/yr)	190	0	190		
Infiltration Measures (mm/yr)	0	0	0		
Total Infiltration (mm/yr)	190	0	190		
Runoff Pervious Areas (mm/yr)	81	0	81		
Runoff Impervious Areas (mm/yr)	0	706	0		
Total Runoff (mm/yr)	81	706	81		
Total Outputs (mm/yr)	831	831	831		
Difference (Inputs-Outputs)	0	0	0		
Inputs (Volumes)					
Precipitation (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	2,493	0	2,493		
Run-on (m³/yr)	0	0	0		
Other Inputs (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	0	0	0		
Total Inputs (m3/yr)	2,493	0	2,493		
Outputs (Volumes)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Precipitation Surplus (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	813	0	813		
Net Surplus (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	813	0	813		
Evapotranspiration (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	1,680	0	1,680		
Infiltration (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	569	0	569		
Infiltration Measures (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	0	0	0		
Total Infiltration (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	569	0	569		
Runoff Pervious Areas (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	244	0	244		
Runoff Impervious Areas (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	0	0	0		
Total Runoff (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	244	0	244		
Total Outputs (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	2,493	0	2,493		
Difference (Inputs-Outputs)			0		

#### SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS Water Budget - Post-Development (No Mitigation)

Project Name: 181 Toronto Street South Municipality: Township of Uxbridge Project No.: 21026 Date: 16-Nov-23

Last Revised: 16-Nov-23

	Site Area				
Catchment Designation	Pervious	Impervious	Total		
Area (m²)	1,000	2,000	3,000		
Pervious Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	1,000	0	1,000		
Impervious Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	0	2,000	2,000		
Infiltration Factors		· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
MOE Infiltration Factor	0.60				
Run-off from Impervious Surfaces					
Inputs (per Unit Area)					
Precipitation (mm/yr)	831	831	831		
Run-on (mm/yr)	0	0	0		
Other Inputs (mm/yr)	0	0	0		
Outputs (per Unit Area)					
Precipitation Surplus (mm/yr)	271	706	561		
Evapotranspiration (mm/yr)	560	125	270		
Infiltration (mm/yr)	163	0	54		
Infiltration Measures (mm/yr)	0	0	0		
Total Infiltration (mm/yr)	163	0	54		
Runoff Pervious Areas (mm/yr)	108	0	36		
Runoff Impervious Areas (mm/yr)	0	706	471		
Total Runoff (mm/yr)	108	706	507		
Total Outputs (mm/yr)	831	831	831		
Difference (Inputs-Outputs)	0	0	0		
Inputs (Volumes)					
Precipitation (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	831	1,662	2,493		
Run-on (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	0	0	0		
Other Inputs (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	0	0	0		
Total Inputs (m3/yr)	831	1,662	2,493		
Outputs (Volumes)					
Precipitation Surplus (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	271	1,413	1,684		
Net Surplus (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	271	1,413	1,684		
Evapotranspiration (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	560	249	809		
Infiltration (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	163	0	163		
Infiltration Measures (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	0	0	Q		
Total Infiltration (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	163	0	163		
Runoff Pervious Areas (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	108	0	108		
Runoff Impervious Areas (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	0	1,413	1,413		
Total Runoff (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	108	1,413	1,521		
Total Outputs (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	831	1,662	2,493		
Difference (Inputs-Outputs)					

Note:

- Evaporation from impervious area assumed to be 15% of precipitation

#### SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS Water Budget - Post-Development (With Mitigation)

Project Name: 181 Toronto Street South Municipality: Township of Uxbridge Project No.: 21026 Date: 16-Nov-23

Last Revised: 16-Nov-23

				-
Catchment Designation	Pervious	Impervious	Total Area 201	Total
Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	1,000	2,000	3,000	3,000
Pervious Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	1,000	0	1,000	1,000
Impervious Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	0	2,000	2,000	2,000
Infiltration Factors				
MOE Infiltration Factor	0.60			
Run-off from Impervious Surfaces				
Inputs (per Unit Area)	-			
Precipitation (mm/yr)	831	831	831	831
Run-on (mm/yr)	0	0	0	0
Other Inputs (mm/yr)	0	0	0	0
Outputs (per Unit Area)				
Precipitation Surplus (mm/yr)	271	706	561	561
Evapotranspiration (mm/yr)	560	125	270	270
Infiltration (mm/yr)	163	0	54	54
Infiltration Measures (mm/yr)	0	459	306	306
Total Infiltration (mm/yr)	163	459	360	360
Runoff Pervious Areas (mm/yr)	108	0	36	36
Runoff Impervious Areas (mm/yr)	0	247	165	165
Total Runoff (mm/yr)	108	247	201	201
Total Outputs (mm/yr)	831	831	831	831
Difference (Inputs-Outputs)	0	0	0	0
Inputs (Volumes)				
Precipitation (m³/yr)	831	1,662	2,493	2,493
Run-on (m³/yr)	0	0	0	0
Other Inputs (m³/yr)	0	0	0	0
Total Inputs (m3/yr)	831	1,662	2,493	2,493
Outputs (Volumes)				
Precipitation Surplus (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	271	1,413	1,684	1,684
Net Surplus (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	271	1,413	1,684	1,684
Evapotranspiration (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	560	249	809	809
Infiltration (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	163	0	163	163
Infiltration Measures (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	0	918	918	918
Total Infiltration (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	163	918	1,081	1,081
Runoff Pervious Areas (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	108	0	108	108
Runoff Impervious Areas (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	0	494	494	494
Total Runoff (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	108	494	603	603
Total Outputs (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	831	1,662	2,493	2,493
Difference (Inputs-Outputs)			0	0

Note:

- Evaporation from impervious area assumed to be 15% of precipitation

# Counterpoint Engineering

181 Toronto Street South Project Number: 21026

### Drawdown Time Calculation - Roof Drain Infiltration

Infiltration		
Dimensions:	Area=	53.8 m <sup>2</sup>
	Volume=	18.75 m <sup>3</sup>
	Average Height=	0.35 m

Infiltration Gallery	18.75 m <sup>3</sup>
Drawdown Time:	
Infiltration rate (with safety factor applied): (Based on hydrogeological report results, infiltration ra Infiltration rate used = 75mm/hr / 2.5 safety factor = 3	30.0 mm/hr = 0.030 m/hr ate ranges from 75mm/hr - 94mm/hr) 0.0mm/hr
Drawdown Time = Depth/Infiltration Rate	
Drawdown Time = 0.50m/0.030m/hr =	11.6 hrs
Drawdown time is less than 48 hours, therefore, M	IECP drawdown time is achieved.

Notes

1. CB Shield can be installed at any time. In a non frozen condition.

2. The **frame and cover** <u>MUST BE</u> well aligned with the catchbasin for proper installation.

The catchbasin sump must be clean before installation
 The grate should be at the same level as the standing water in the sump.



Top view





Profile view

# CB Shield (600mm Sump)

### Average Annual Sediment Removal Rates (%) using a CB Shield (based on MOECC Sediment - 20 to 2000 micron Particle Size Distribution)

Area to CB	Imperviousness <sup>1</sup> (%)										
(na)	20%	35%	50%	65%	80%	100%					
0.02	73%	73%	73%	73% 73%		73%					
0.05	73%	73%	73%	72%	72%	72%					
0.10	72%	72%	72%	71%	70%	69%					
0.20	71%	70%	69%	67%	66%	64%					
0.30	70%	68%	66%	64%	62%	60%					
0.40	70%	66%	63%	61%	59%	57%					
0.50	68%	64%	61%	61% 59%		54%					
0.60	66%	63%	60%	57%	54%	51%					

#### Notes:

1. Runoff Coefficient 'C' is approximately equal to 0.05 + 0.9\*Impervious Fraction.

2. Above chart is based on long term continuous hydrologic analysis of Toronto, Ontario (Bloor St) rainfall data.

3. Assumes 0.6 m sump in CB and that maintenance is performed (i.e. CB cleaning) when required by sediment/pollutant build-up or otherwise.

4. See accompanying chart for suggested maintenance scheduling - AND - get CB Shield Inc. to monitor it for you in field.

5. Sediment/Pollutant removal rates based on third party certified laboratory testing using MOECC sediment (PSD available on request).

6. See additional discussion regarding scour protection from CB Shield during more infrequent runoff events.

Drainage Area 201 Imperviousness = 80% Total Area 201 treated by CB Shields = 0.23 ha # of CB Shields on site = 6

Approximate Area to each CB shield = ~ **0.04ha** From sizing chart above, Annual TSS removal rate = ~**72%** 

								Phosphorus Removal Calculation	15	
							181 Toronto Street	t South		
							2102	26		
							Oct-2	23		
A					Existing Phosphorus Loadin	g Calculation	•			
Land Use		Area	P Coef (kg/ha/yr)	P Load (kg/yr)	BMP	Efficiency (%)	BMP P (kg/yr)	Notes		
Low Intensity Development		0.30	0.13	0.039	None	0	0.039			
	Total	0.30					0.039			
					Proposed Phosphorus Loading Ca	lculation with BMP				
Land Use		Area	P Coef (kg/ha/yr)	P Load (kg/yr)	BMP	Efficiency (%)	BMP P (kg/yr)	Notes		
Low Intensity Development		0.025	0.13	0.003	Sodded Area	0%	0.003	Uncontrolled Landscaped Area		
High Intensity Development		0.20	1.32	0.264	CB Shield/Stormtech Chamber Isolator ROW	79%	0.055	Area to CB Sheild and Stormtech Chambers	CB Shield - 0.021 Kg/yr/CB Shie	d Removal
High Intensity Development		0.075	1.32	0.099	Stormtech Chamber Isolator ROW/Infiltration	60%	0.040	Roof Area to Stormtech Chamber	Stormtech Chamber - 60% Rem	oval
	Total	0.30					0.098			
					Proposed Phosphorus Loading Calc	ulation without BMP				
Land Use		Area	P Coef (kg/ha/yr)	P Load (kg/yr)	BMP	Efficiency (%)	BMP P (kg/yr)	Notes		
High Intensity Development		0.30	1.32	0.396	None	0%	0.396			
	Total	0.30					0.396	Total Phosphorus Load without BMP		
							0.298	Total Phosphorus Removed with BMP		
							75%	Phosphorus removal		

Phosphorus Loading Summary							
Existing Conditions	0.039	kg/year					
Proposed Conditions with no BMP	0.396	kg/year					
Proposed Conditions with BMP	0.098	kg/year					
Post Development % Phosphorus Removal	75%						

LSPOP Compensation Calculation Form								
Application Details								
Larkin+LUPi								
181 Toronto Street South								
Pefferlaw-Uxbridge Brook								
Phosphorous Balance								
0.098								
Compensation Costs								
2.5								
\$8,768.12								
\$1,315.22								
\$10.083.34								

				Ph	osphor	us Exp	ort (kg	/ha/yr)	)			
	-	re	solf	High In Develo	tensity pment	iity ent		oad		c		ž
Subwatershed	Croplanc	Hay-Pastu	Sod Farm/C Course	Commercial /Industrial	Residential	Low Intens Developme	Quarry	Unpaved R	Forest	Transitio	Wetland	Open Wat
Monitored Subwatersheds												
Beaver River	0.22	0.04	0.01	1.82	1.32	0.19	0.06	0.83	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.26
Black River	0.23	0.08	0.02	1.82	1.32	0.17	0.15	0.83	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.26
East Holland River	0.36	0.12	0.24	1.82	1.32	0.13	0.08	0.83	0.10	0.16	0.10	0.26
Hawkestone Creek	0.19	0.10	0.06	1.82	1.32	0.09	0.10	0.83	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.26
Lovers Creek	0.16	0.07	0.17	1.82	1.32	0.07	0.06	0.83	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.26
Pefferlaw/Uxbridge Brook	0.11	0.06	0.02	1.82	1.32	0.13	0.04	0.83	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.26
Whites Creek	0.23	0.10	0.42	1.82	1.32	0.15	0.08	0.83	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.26
		Ur	nmonit	ored Su	bwater	sheds						
Barrie Creeks	0.19	0.07	0.12	1.82	1.32	0.13	0.08	0.83	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.26
GeorginaCreeks	0.36	0.12	0.24	1.82	1.32	0.13	0.08	0.83	0.10	0.16	0.10	0.26
Hewitts Creek	0.19	0.07	0.12	1.82	1.32	0.13	0.08	0.83	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.26
Innisfil Creeks	0.19	0.07	0.12	1.82	1.32	0.13	0.08	0.83	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.26
Maskinonge River	0.19	0.07	0.12	1.82	1.32	0.13	0.08	0.83	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.26
Oro Creeks North	0.36	0.12	0.24	1.82	1.32	0.13	0.08	0.83	0.10	0.16	0.10	0.26
Oro Creeks South	0.19	0.07	0.12	1.82	1.32	0.13	0.08	0.83	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.26
Ramara Creeks	0.19	0.07	0.12	1.82	1.32	0.13	0.08	0.83	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.26
Talbot/Upper Talbot River	0.19	0.07	0.12	1.82	1.32	0.13	0.08	0.83	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.26
West Holland River	0.36	0.12	0.24	1.82	1.32	0.13	0.08	0.83	0.10	0.16	0.10	0.26

# Table 2. Land-Use Specific Phosphorus Export Coefficients (kg/ha/yr) for Lake Simcoe Subwatersheds

**Module 2** – Estimates post-development phosphorus loads that are representative of the proposed changes in land use for the study site using the same data sources used in Module 1, but accounting for the change in land use that will occur with development.

**Module 3** – Estimates efficiencies attributed to classes of BMPs that can be used to reduce stormwater phosphorus loads in the post-development scenario. These efficiencies are based on data that is sourced from relevant, regional studies. The Tool provides standardized phosphorus reduction efficiencies (with rationale) for specific BMPs, but also allows the user to enter their own efficiencies provided that the rationale is also documented and is acceptable to the MOE. The Tool also allows the user to use custom BMPs or to enter the net efficiency achieved using a Treatment Train approach, which would also require documentation in a rationale that is acceptable to the MOE. The BMP selection criteria and efficiencies are shown below as reproduced from Figure 5 and Table 3 of the report, as follows:

\*

BMP Class	Reference IDs <sup>1</sup> Reported Phosphorus Removal Efficiency (%)		elevant to Intario?	Range <40%?	Are Non- Ontario values	Possible design criteria?	Median % Removal Efficiency				
		Min	Max	a O		acceptable?		<b>,</b>			
Post-development BMPs											
Bioretention Systems	8-10, 12,13, 34- 38, 40	-1552	80	no	no	no	No	none			
Constructed Wetlands	104, 106, 109	104, 106, 109 72 87		yes	yes			77			
Dry Detention Ponds	104, 109	04, 109 0 20		no	yes	yes		10			
Dry Swales	24, 26-32 -216 94		no	no	no	possible	none				
Enhanced Grass/Water Quality Swales	21, 104	, 104 34 55		no	yes	no	No	none			
Flow Balancing Systems	106	7	77		?	yes	Min data	77			
Green Roofs	2	-24	48	no	no	no	No	none			
Hydrodynamic Devices	109	-1	8	no	?	yes		none			
Perforated Pipe Infiltration/Exfiltration Systems	7, 4	81	93	yes	yes			87			
Sand or Media Filters	104, 109	30	59	no	yes	yes		45			
Soakaways - Infiltration Trenches	6, 104	50	70	no	yes	yes		60			
Sorbtive Media Interceptors	111	78 80		no	yes	yes		79			
Underground Storage	106	2	5	no	?	yes	Min data	25			
Vegetated Filter Strips/Stream Buffers	6, 42, 104	60	70	no	yes	yes	Yes	65			
Wet Detention Ponds	104-106, 109	42	85	yes	yes			63			

Table	3.	Phosphorus	Removal	Efficiencies	for	Major	Classes	of	BMPs	Using	the
		<b>Decision Tree</b>							-		

Notes: <sup>1</sup>References associated with IDs are provided in Appendix 7.

**Module 4** – Examines the potential for erosion and sediment loss during the construction phase on the basis of the Universal Soil Loss Equation and provides guidance to the user on appropriate BMPs that can be implemented during this phase to minimize sediment loss and resultant phosphorus export. The module calculates loads for the entire construction phase, but pro-rates this one-time load to annual loads to account for the eight-year hydraulic residence time in Lake Simcoe. The quantification of expected soil and phosphorus loss from a construction site is an uncertain process, even under ideal conditions. Determining expected loss reductions from the use of various on-site BMPs adds to the uncertainty. Even with

Hutchinson Environmental Sciences Ltd.
Lark+ Lupi



181 Toronto Street South Residential Development

# Appendix C Water Demand Calculation

# **Counterpoint Engineering Inc.**

# **RESIDENTIAL WATER DEMAND CALCULATIONS**

Project:	181	Toronto	Street	South
1 10/000	101	1010110		oouti

Project No: 21026

Client: LARKIN+ LUPi

Location: Uxbridge, Ontario

Average Daily Demand:	364 L/(cap*d)	
Maximum Day Peaking Factor:	4.9	(See Note 1)
Peak Hour Peaking Factor:	7.4	(See Note 2)
Population Density (Singles)	3.5 ppu	(See Note 3)
Population Density (Towns)	3 рри	(See Note 3)

Modelled Area	Number of Units	Population	Average Day Demand (L/min)	Maximum Day Demand (L/min)	Maximum Hour Demand (L/min)	Maximum Day Plus Fire Flow Demand (L/min)		
Singles	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Street & Condominium Towns	10	30	8	37	56	5037		
Total	10	30	8	37	56	5037		
Total (gpm)			2.0	9.8	14.8	1330.7		

Notes:

1. For population less than 500 per 2008 MOE Watermain Design Guidelines, Table 3-3.

2. For population less than 500 per 2008 MOE Watermain Design Guidelines, Table 3-3.

3. Persons per unit for singles and townhouses per section 2.0 Region of Durham Design Specifications for Sanitary Sewers.

### Counterpoint Engineering Inc.

**REQUIRED FIRE FLOW WORKSHEET - Lot 1** Fire Underwriters Survey

**181 Toronto Street South** Project : Project No: 21026 Client: LARKIN+ LUPi Location: Uxbridge, Ontario

Guide for Determination of Required Flow Copyright I.S.O

F =

C =

where

 $F = 220C\sqrt{A}$ 

the required fire flow in litres per minute.

- coefficient related to the type of construction. = 1.5 for wood frame construction (structure essentially all combustible).
- = 1.0 for ordinary construction (brick or other masonry walls, combustible floor and interior).
  - components,
- Interior).
  Interior).
  In the second se
- The total floor area in square metres (including all storeys, but excluding basements at least 50 percent below grade) in the building being considered. A =

T	ype of Construction	Class Factor
WF	Wood Frame	1.5
OC	Ordinary Construction	1.0
NC	Non-Combustible	0.8
FC	Fire-Resistive	0.6

### Area Notes for Fire Resistive Buildings (from FUS manual, 1999):

If Vertical Openings are inadequately protected (less than 1-hour fire rating): Area is the total of the

two largest adjoining floors (above ground level) plus 50% of the area of each of the next 8 adjoining floors above that.

Contents % Reduction								
NC	Non-Combustible	25	li vertical c					
LC	Limited Combustible	15	largest floor					
С	Combustible	0	above that					
FB	Free Burning	15	above tildt.					
RB	Rapid Burning	25						

If Vertical Openings are adequately protected (at least 1-hour fire rating): Area is the total of the largest floor (above ground level) plus 25% of the area of each of the next 2 immediately adjoining floors

### 1) Fire Flow

Type of Construction:	
C=	
A*=	
F=	

1 m<sup>2</sup> 360 4,174 L/min

OC

Note: Exterior walls are proposed brick and/or stone with wood frame. Assumes windows are adequately protected. Assume two-storeys.

### 2) **Occupancy Reduction/Surcharge**

Contents Factor:		С		
Reduction/Surcharge of		0%	=	0 L/min
F=	4174L/min	0	L/min =	4,174 L/min

### 3) System Type Reduction

NFPA 13 Sprinkler:		NO	0%	
Standard Water Supply:		NO	0%	
Fully Supervised:		NO	0%	
Total			0%	
Reduction of		0%	L/min =	0 L/min
F=	4174L/min -	0	L/min =	4,174 L/min

### 4) Separation Charge **Building Face** North

East			2.5	25%					
South			46	0%	*	Fire wa	all assu	med	between units
West			46	0%					
Total				25%	of	4,174	L/min	=	1,044 L/min
							(max ex	posur	e charge can be 75%)
Separation	Charge	Sepa	aration	Cha	arge	•			
0 to 3m	25%	20.1	to 30 m	1	0%				
3.1 to 10m	20%	30.1	to 45m		5%				
10.1 to 20m	15%								

Dist(m)

46

Charge

0%

0 to 3m	25%	20.1 to 30 m	10%	
3.1 to 10m	20%	30.1 to 45m	5%	
10.1 to 20m	15%			

F= 4174L/min + 1044L/min =

5,218 L/min (2,000L/min<F<45,000L/min)

F=	5,000	L/min	(round to the nearest 1,000L/min)
F=	83	L/s	8,000 L/min
F=	1,321	gpm	

Lark+ Lupi



181 Toronto Street South Residential Development

# Appendix D Sanitary Demand Calculation

## Counterpoint Engineering Inc.

### REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF DURHAM SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET (METRIC)

### PROJECT: **181 Toronto Street South** PROJECT No.: **21026** MUNICIPALITY: **Uxbridge**

DESIGNED BY: CHECKED BY: MANNINGS "n": DATE:

		RESID	ENTIAL		C	OMMERCI	AL	INDUSTRIAL	INSTITUTIONAL		FLOV	V IN LITRE	S PER SEC	OND			PROPOSED SEWER			PRESENT CONDITION
MAINTENANCE	GROSS	POP.	POP.	PEAK	LOT	FLOOR	FLOOR	LOT	LOT	RESIDEN	TIAL FLOW	COMM.	INDUS.	INSTIT.	TOTAL	PIPE	SLOPE	CAPACITY	VELOCITY	SURCHARGED
No.	AREA	DENSITY		FLOW	AREA	SPACE	AREA	AREA	AREA	INFIL. *	SEWAGE				FLOW	SIZE		Q	v	
	(ha)			FACTOR		INDEX			(ha)	0.26	0.0042	2.08	2.08	1.30		(Nom)		(Act Pipe)	(Act Pipe)	
		UNITS			(ha)	(See Note 7)	(ha)	(ha)		l/s	l/s	l/s	l/s	l/s	l/s	(mm)	%	l/s	m/s	%
Toronto Street	0.30	10	30																	
South																				
	0.30		30	3.80						0.08	0.48				0.56					
																	<u> </u>		ļ	
	•	•	-			•		•	•	•	•			•		•	•	INFILTRATIO	N 0.26L/s - 2	2.5 m3/ha/day
NOTES:																			0.52 L/s -	45.0 m3/ha/day
																		INDUSTRIAL	1.04L/s - 9	0.0 m3/ha/day
																		FLOW RATE	5 2.08L/s - 1	80 m3/ha/day

S. Corley P. Turner 0.013 October 24, 2023



181 Toronto Street South Residential Development

# Appendix E Engineering Drawings



# CONSTRUCTION NOTES

## GENERAL NOTES

- THE CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED THAT WORKS BY OTHERS MAY BE ONGOING DURING THE PERIOD OF THIS CONTRACT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES WITH ALL OTHER CONTRACTORS AND PREVENT CONSTRUCTION CONFLICTS. THE INFORMATION SHOWN FOR EXISTING UTILITIES WAS PROVIDED BY OTHERS. THE INFORMATION IS SHOWN
- FOR GENERAL INFORMATION ONLY AND THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF THE PROVIDED INFORMATION HAS NOT BEEN CONFIRMED BY COUNTERPOINT ENGINEERING INC. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATING AND PROTECTING ALL UTILITIES DURING CONSTRUCTION. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES MUST BE LOCATED AND VERIFIED BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK. ANY VARIANCE IS TO BE IMMEDIATELY REPORTED TO THE ENGINEER. LOST TIME DUE TO FAILURE OF THE CONTRACTOR TO CONFIRM UTILITY LOCATIONS AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF POSSIBLE CONFLICTS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION WILL BE AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE.
- THIS PLAN SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL OTHER CONSULTANTS PLANS. ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE CLARIFIED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. INFORMATION RELATED TO DIMENSIONS FOR PRIVATE ROADS, PARKING, CURBING, BUILDING LOCATION AND SETBACKS SHALL BE TAKEN FROM THE SITE PLAN PREPARED BY THE SITE ARCHITECT
- INSPECTIONS: ALL WORK IN THE MUNICIPAL RIGHT OF WAY AND EASEMENTS IS TO BE INSPECTED BY THE TOWNSHIP PRIOR TO BACKFILLING. ALL WORK RELATING TO WATERMAINS AND SEWERS TO BE INSPECTED BY THE CITY AS PER THE SITE PLAN AGREEMENT.
- ALL DISTURBED GRASSED AREAS TO BE RESTORED WITH MINIMUM 150mm TOPSOIL AND No. 1 NURSERY SOD. A MINIMUM HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE OF 1.0m SHALL BE MAINTAINED BETWEEN ALL ABOVE GROUND SERVICES
- AND UTILITIES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE TOWNSHIP A MINIMUM OF 48 HOURS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED HEREON OR PURSUANT TO CONDITIONS OF PERMIT APPROVALS. WHERE APPLICABLE, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN CITY ROAD OCCUPANCY PERMIT A MINIMUM OF 48 HOURS PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION.
- ALL DIMENSIONS AND ELEVATIONS TO BE VERIFIED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION AND ANY DISCREPANCIES FOUND PRIOR TO OR DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE CLARIFIED WITH THE ENGINEER. ALL TRENCHING SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT. TRENCH
- SIDES SHALL BE FLATTENED IN ACCORDANCE WITH DIRECTIONS FROM THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER. CONSTRUCTION OF SHORING, BRACING AND PROTECTION SCHEMES SHALL CONFORM TO OPSS 538 & 539. 10. ALL TRAFFIC CONTROL AND SIGNAGE SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH MTO'S "ONTARIO TRAFFIC MANUAL".

## **GRADING NOTES**

- ALL DISTURBED GRASSED AREAS OUTSIDE OF PROPERTY LIMITS SHALL BE RESTORED TO ORIGINAL CONDITION OR BETTER, WITH SOD ON MINUMUM 100mm TOPSOIL. ALL TREE AND SHRUB RELOCATION SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.
- . ALL UNSUITABLE SOIL OR SURPLUS MATERIAL OBTAINED FROM EXCAVATIONS TO BE DISPOSED OF OFF-SITE TO AN APPROVED DISPOSAL FACILITY THAT MEETS ALL ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS AND GUIDELINES.
- 3. EXCEPT WHERE INDICATED, ALL DIFFERENCES IN GRADE BETWEEN THIS SITE AND ADJOINING LANDS ARE TO BE TAKEN UP ON OWNER'S LAND WITH A MAXIMUM SLOPE OF ONE (1) VERTICAL AND THREE (3) HORIZONTAL, SODDED AND/OR PAVED.
- 4. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING VEGETATION AND TREE PRESERVATION HOARDING IN AN APPROVED AND FUNCTIONING CONDITION AS REQUIRED.

# CONFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS

- 1. THE FOLLOWING ITEMS ARE TO BE PROVIDED TO COUNTERPOINT NO LESS THAN 10 WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO THE REQUEST FOR A LETTER OF GENERAL CONFORMANCE/FINAL CERTIFICATION. THE DOCUMENTS MUST INDICATE THAT THE SITE HAS BEEN CONSTRUCTED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE APPROVED DESIGN;
- AS-CONSTRUCTED TOPOGRAPHIC/UNDERGROUND SURVEY COMPLETED BY A REGISTERED LAND SURVEYOR AS PER THE SPECIFICATIONS OUTLINED WITHIN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENT;
- GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER CERTIFICATION LETTER, WHICH INCLUDES SUB-GRADE COMPACTION RESULTS, BEDDING AND BACKFILL COMPACTION AND MATERIAL ACCEPTANCE, GRANULAR, ASPHALT, SITE CONCRETE MATERIAL ACCEPTANCE AND COMPACTION RESULTS;
- CCTV INSPECTION OF FLUSHED STORM AND SANITARY PIPES AND STRUCTURES;
- AIR/MANDREL TEST RESULTS FOR SANITARY SEWER (IF REQUIRED);
- WATERMAIN PRESSURE, CHLORINATION AND BACTERIAL TEST RESULTS AND MUNICIPAL APPROVAL IF AVAILABLE.
- 2. SHOULD THE SUBMITTED MATERIALS INDICATE NON-CONFORMANCE OR DEFICIENCIES, THEY MUST BE ADDRESSED TO COUNTERPOINT'S SATISFACTION WITH AN UPDATED SUBMITTAL PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF A LETTER OF GENERAL CONFORMANCE/FINAL CERTIFICATION.
- 3. COUNTERPOINT MUST ALSO COMPLETE ALL NECESSARY SITE INSPECTIONS AS OUTLINED IN THE APPROVED SERVICE PROGRAM, WITH ALL DEFICIENCIES ADDRESSED TO COUNTERPOINT'S SATISFACTION.

## CONFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS

- 1. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, RETAINING WALL ELEVATIONS INDICATED ARE THE FINISHED GRADE AT THE TOP OR BOTTOM OF THE WALL. TOP AND BOTTOM OF WALL ELEVATIONS MAY DIFFER FROM FINISHED GRADE DEPENDING ON THE WALL DESIGN.
- 2. FACE OF RETAINING WALL AT PROPRTY LINES SHALL BE SETBACK A MINIMUM OF 300mm FROM THE PROPERTY LINE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- 3. RETAINING WALLS SHOWN HAVE BEEN DESIGNED FOR GRADING ONLY. WALL DETAILED DESIGN SHALL BE COMPLETED BY THE OWNER'S STRUCTURAL ENGINEER OR CONTRACTOR, AND SHALL BE DESIGNED IN CONFORMANCE WITH APPLICABLE O.B.C. REQUIREMENTS AND GENERAL SITE GRADING.
- 4. RETAINING WALL DETAILED DESIGN (BY OTHERS) SHALL INCLUDE CONSIDERATION FOR THE FOLLOWING AS DEEMED NECESSARY BY THE DESIGNER:
- GEOTEXTILE PLACED BEHIND THE WALL GRANULAR BACKFILL MATERIAL AND COMPACTION
- WALL SUBDRAINAGE AND OUTLET LOCATIONS SOIL BEARING CAPACITY OF THE SUBGRADE
- WALL TIEBACKS • 0.B.C. GUARD AT TOP OF WALL
- 5. PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, RETAINING WALL SHOP DRAWINGS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO COUNTERPOINT ENGINEERING FOR REVIEW TO CONFIRM CONFORMANCE TO THE REQUIREMENTS ABOVE.
- 6. THE PARTY RESPONSIBLE FOR DETAILED DESIGN OF THE RETAINING WALL SHALL ALSO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FINAL CERTIFICATION OF THE WALL.

# **RETAINING WALLS:**

- 1. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, RETAINING WALL ELEVATIONS INDICATED ARE THE FINISHED GRADE AT THE TOP OR BOTTOM OF THE WALL. TOP AND BOTTOM OF WALL ELEVATIONS MAY DIFFER FROM FINISHED GRADE DEPENDING ON THE WALL DESIGN.
- 2. FACE OF RETAINING WALL AT PROPRTY LINES SHALL BE SETBACK A MINIMUM OF 300mm FROM THE PROPERTY LINE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. 3. RETAINING WALLS SHOWN HAVE BEEN DESIGNED FOR GRADING ONLY. WALL DETAILED DESIGN SHALL BE
- COMPLETED BY THE OWNER'S STRUCTURAL ENGINEER OR CONTRACTOR, AND SHALL BE DESIGNED IN CONFORMANCE WITH APPLICABLE O.B.C. REQUIREMENTS AND GENERAL SITE GRADING.
- 4. RETAINING WALL DETAILED DESIGN (BY OTHERS) SHALL INCLUDE CONSIDERATION FOR THE FOLLOWING AS DEEMED NECESSARY BY THE DESIGNER: - GEOTEXTILE PLACED BEHIND THE WALL
- GRANULAR BACKFILL MATERIAL AND COMPACTION - WALL SUBDRAINAGE AND OUTLET LOCATIONS - SOIL BEARING CAPACITY OF THE SUBGRADE
- WALL TIEBACKS - O.B.C. GUARD AT TOP OF WALL
- 5. PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, RETAINING WALL SHOP DRAWINGS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO COUNTERPOINT ENGINEERING FOR REVIEW TO CONFIRM CONFORMANCE TO THE REQUIREMENTS ABOVE.
- 6. THE PARTY RESPONSIBLE FOR DETAILED DESIGN OF THE RETAINING WALL SHALL ALSO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FINAL CERTIFICATION OF THE WALL.



TO TON	TISAAC CT		
153	DRIVE AND DR		
	CAMP BELL CENTRAL ARNARD WA		
277.75EX. 277.50EX.Centreline of Re	SUBJECT SITE		
	ELGIN PARK UN		
Edge of Asphalt	LEGEND -() EXISTING HYDRANT		
Concrete Sidewalk	EXISTING STORM SEWER		
<u>- он он</u> он	EXISTING SANITARY SEWER		
	PROPOSED STORM SEWER AND MH		
	H&V - PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER AND MH		
ΗE	V&B PROPOSED VALVE AND BOX		
	XXX.XXEX. EXISTING ELEVATION		
	$\times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times$ PROPOSED ELEVATION		
	OVERLAND FLOW ROUTE		
	PROPOSED WATERMAIN		
	PROPOSED SWALE		
	LEGAL & TOPOGRAPHY      PROVIDED BY:    BARICH GRENKIE      297 HWY No. 8 (UNIT 101)      STONEY CREEK, ON, L8G 1E5      PHONE: (905) 662–6767      BENCHMARK AND ELEVATION      ELEVATIONS SHOWN ARE REFERRED TO THE CANADIAN GEODETIC VERTICAL DATUM      (CGVD-1928: 1978) AND ARE DERIVED FROM THE TOWNSHIP OF UXBRIDGE BENCHMARK      No. 0011931U517S, HAVING AN ELEVATION OF 272.439 METERS.      BEARINGS ARE UTM GRID, DERIVED FROM OBSERVED REFERENCE BY REAL TIME NETWORK      (RTN) OBSERVATIONS. UTM ZONE 17 (81'00' WEST LONGTUDE) NAD83 (CSRS) (2010.0).      ORP 1 – NORTHING (4884511.823), EASTING (649875.665).		
	2.  ISSUED FOR 2ND SUBMISSION  2023/11/24  J.Y    1.  ISSUED FOR 1ST SUBMISSION  2022/05/16  P.T    No.  REVISIONS/ISSUED  DATF  BY		
	APPLICANT: MANSOUR ARAB/MAN HOLDINGS LTD 174 DINNICK CRESCENT TORONTO, ONTARIO MAN 1M3		
	SITE LOCATION: 181 TORONTO STREET SOUTH UXBRIDGE, ONTARIO		
	SITE PLAN FILE No.:		
	GRADING PLAN		
	DESIGNED BY:CHECKED BY:DATE: APRIL 2021DRAWING BY:CHECKED BY:PROJECT NO.21026SWM BY:CHECKED BY:NO.21026		
	SCALE:      0m      4m      8m      12m      DRAWING NO.      C-1		



REGION FILE No.



- 100MM CLEAR STONE AND 450MM DEEP.
- SILT FENCE, STRAW BALES, CLEAR STONE, ETC.) ARE TO BE KEPT ON SITE FOR EMERGENCIES AND REPAIRS.

- MORE THAN 30 DAYS ARE TO BE SEEDED TO PREVENT WIND EROSION.

- 4. THE SILT FENCE MUST BE INSPECTED BI-WEEKLY AND IMMEDIATELY AFTER RAINFALL EVENTS FOR RIPS OR TEARS,

- SHALL BE SEEDED AND/OR STABILIZED.







CAMPBELL DRIVE CAMPBELL CAMPBE	THE CONTENT TRAP	TSAAC CT	
	GRANULAR MUDMAT PROPOSED SWALE SEDIMENT TRAP PER OP ROCK CHECK DAM AS P OVERLAND FLOW ROUTE	SD 219.220 ER OPSD 219.210	
LEGAL & TOPOGRAPHY      PROVIDED BY: BARICH GRENKIE      297 HWY No. 8 (UNIT 101)      STONEY CREEK, ON, L8G 1E5      PHONE: (905) 662–6767      BENCHMARK AND ELEVATION      ELEVATIONS SHOWN ARE REFERRED TO THE CANADIAN GEODETIC VERTICAL DATUM      (CGVD–1928: 1978) AND ARE DERIVED FROM THE TOWNSHIP OF UXBRIDGE BENCHMARK      No. 0011931U517S, HAVING AN ELEVATION OF 272.439 METERS.      BEARINGS ARE UTM GRID, DERIVED FROM OBSERVED REFERENCE BY REAL TIME NETWORK      (RTN) OBSERVATIONS. UTM ZONE 17 (81'00' WEST LONGITUDE) NAD83 (CSRS) (2010.0).      ORP 1 – NORTHING (4884511.823), EASTING (649875.665).			
2. ISSUED FOR 2 1. ISSUED FOR 1 No. REVISIONS COUNTERPOINT ENC 8395 Jane St., Suite 100, Vaughan, ON L4K 5Y2 P	IND SUBMISSION ST SUBMISSION /ISSUED DINT NEERING SINEERING INC. hone 905.326.1404 Fax 905.326.1405	2023/11/24 J.Y 2022/05/16 P.T DATE BY CITY DATE BY CITY J.S. YOGANATHAN 100100545 Nov 24 /23 Nov 24 /23	
APPLICANT: MANSOUR ARAB/MAN HOLDINGS LTD 174 DINNICK CRESCENT TORONTO, ONTARIO M4N 1M3 SITE LOCATION: 181 TORONTO STREET SOUTH UXBRIDGE, ONTARIO			
ERUSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN			
DESIGNED BY: CH	ECKED BY:	DATE: APRIL 2021	
DRAWING BY: CH SWM_BY: CH	ECKED BY:	PROJECT <b>21026</b>	
SCALE: 0m 4m	<u>8m 12</u> m	DRAWING C2	
1:200m			

REGION FILE No .: